







# **Predictors of Bacterial Infection in COVID-19**

A Rapid Review and Meta-regression







	Recomm COVID-1	nendation l 19 Severity	based on	
Guideline	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Statement
World Health Organization 2021	$\otimes$	$\otimes$	4	"Do not prescribe antibiotics to suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patients with low suspicion of a bacterial infection, in patients admitted to ICU, the frequency of bacterial secondary infections is high, therefore empiric antibiotic therapy should be considered in this population".
Surviving Sepsis Campaign 2021	-	-	-	No recommendation
National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) 2020	$\otimes$	$\otimes$	$\otimes$	"If there is confidence that the clinical features are typical for COVID-19, it is reasonable not to start empirical antibiotics"
Infectious Diseases Society of America 2020	-	-	-	No definitive recommendation
National Institutes of Health (NIH) 2021	-	-	-	"insufficient evidence for the Panel to recommend either for or against empiric broad-spectrum antimicrobial therapy"
Dutch Working Party on Antibiotics 2020	$\otimes$	$\mathbf{x}$		"We generally suggest restrictive use of antibacterial drugs in patients with proven or a high likelihood of COVID-19. This especially applies for patients who are mildly to moderately ill"
Ontario Clinical Practice Guidelines 2022	X		X	Bacterial co-infection is uncommon in COVID-19 pneumonia at presentation. Do not add empiric antibiotics for bacterial pneumonia unless bacterial infection is strongly suspected.

















Study	Setting	Direction	Details
Vaughn VM 2020	Hospital (MI, USA)	?	38 hospitals. 56.6% were prescribed early empiric antibiotics, range between hospitals prescribing empiric antibiotics (27-84%) Median duration was 3 days, 55% had antibiotics DC within 1 day of negative result. 65% of those who did not have bacterial infection received >5 days antibiotics. Vaughn VM et al. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2021 May 15;72(10):e533-41.
Buehrle DJ 2020	Hospital (PA, USA)	$\uparrow$	Single-centre. Non-epi-center. Initial increase in DOT/1000 bed days of 8.1/month. Buehrle DJ, Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 2020 Oct 20;64(11):e01011-20.
Abelenda- Alonso2020	Hospital (Spain)	$\uparrow$	Single-centre, epicentre referral hospital. Increase in amoxicillin-clavulanate and "broad spectrum" as a result of empiric recommendations to use antibiotics in all patients COVID-19. Abelenda-Alonso G, et al. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology. 2020 Nov;41(11):1371-2.
Calderón-Parra J 2020	Hospital (Spain)	$\uparrow$	Registry of COVID-19 patients in 150 hospitals. 21.6% were prescribed no antibiotics, 43.9% were appropriately prescribed antibiotics, and 34.2% were inappropriately prescribed antibiotics. ADEs more frequent in patients who received antibiotics (4.9% vs 2.7%, p < .001). Calderón-Parra J, et al. PLoS One. 2021 May 11;16(5):e0251340.
So M 2021	Hospital (ON, Canada)	$\uparrow$	Single centre, COVID+ on General internal medicine compared with historical CAP controls (2019, 2020). 70.2% of patients with COVID-19 received antibiotics. So M et al. JAMMI. 2022
Henig O 2021	Hospital (Israel)	$\downarrow$	Single centre, tertiary centre with ongoing ASP. During COVID-19, 30.9% received at least 1 dose of antibiotic. Comparing COVID-19 wave to the same previous calendar months, proportion of hospitalized patients receiving antibiotics was lower, DOT/1000 PDs were lower, and time to starting antibiotics was longer (p < 0.001) Henig O et al. Antibiotics. 2021 Sep:10(9):1056.
Elligsen M 2022	Hospital (ON, Canada)	$\uparrow \downarrow$	Multi-centre, 3 hospitals retrospective interrupted time series. Respiratory antibiotic utilization DOT/1000 PD, initial increase in antibiotic use RR 1.76 medical ward, RR 1.30 ICU (wave 1), but use returned to baseline by wave 3 (for wards), wave 2 (for ICU) Elligsen M, Antimicrobial Stewardship & Hospital Epidemiology. 2022;2(1):E128



Study	Setting	Direction	Details
Thompson W	Community	Ϋ́	UK (NHS). increase 22% from April 2020-March 2021 vs previous year
2022	HIC Dental		Thompson W et al. British Dental Journal. 2022;233:653-658.
Sulis G	Community	$\uparrow$	India - IQVIA data from January 2018 to December 2020. Estimated 216 million excess adult formulation antibiotic usage.
2021	LMIC		Sulis G, et al. PLOS Medicine. 2021 Jul 1;18(7):e1003682.
Zhong X	Community	$\downarrow \uparrow$	UK (NHS England) decrease in overall prescribing, temporary increase in proportion of broad-spectrum antibiotics (e.g., amox-clav, Fi
2023	HIC		Zhong X, et al. The Lancet Regional Health–Europe. 2023.
Armitage R	Community	$\downarrow$	UK (NHS England). 15% decrease April-Aug 2020 compared to previous year, but also decreased # of visits (increased Rx/visit)
2021	HIC		Armitage R, Nellums LB. Lancet ID. 2021;21:E144.
PHAC	Community	$\downarrow$	Canada-wide antibiotic dispensing data from Canadian CompuScript database (IQVIA). 30% decrease in Apr/May 2020 vs 2019
2020	HIC		Public Health Agency of Canada. 2020.
Buehrle DJ 2020	Community HIC	$\downarrow$	USA-wide antibiotic dispensing data from National Prescription Audit database (IQVIA). Antibiotic use decreased by 13-56% for top 1 antibiotics. Buehrle DJ et al. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 2020 Oct 20;64(11):e01011-20.
King LM	Community	$\downarrow$	USA - IQVIA data from January 2017 to May 2020. Antibiotic use decreased 33% points compared to seasonal prediction
2021	HIC		King LM, et al. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2021 Aug 1;73(3):e652-60.
Ha D	Community	$\downarrow$	USA - Urgent care clinics January 2019 to December 2020, 17% of encounters received antibiotics before, 11% during COVID-19
2022	HIC		Ha D, et al. Open Forum Infectious Diseases. 2022; 9(2): ofab662
Kitano T 2021	Community HIC	$\downarrow$	Canada - Using IQVIA linked to aggregated outpatient visit data based on UHIP billings from ICES from January 2017 - December 2020 31.2% relative reduction in total antibiotic prescriptions and total antibiotic prescriptions/1000 visits (-27.5%), likely related to decreased outpatient visits, especially visits for respiratory infections. Kitano T, Open Forum Infectious Diseases 2021













		Р	re-Diagnosis	F	ost-Diagnosis	Contro	Period
Va	riable	Rate	IRR	Rate	IRR	Rate	IRR
All		14.97	3.52 (3.28 - 3.77)	20.92	4.92 (4.59 - 5.27)	4.25	Ref
Ag	e (years)						
6	5 to 74	15.92	3.41 (2.83 - 4.10)	22.02	4.71 (3.89 - 5.70)	4.67	Ref
7	'5 to 84	15.76	3.57 (3.15 - 4.06)	20.33	4.61 (4.05 - 5.24)	4.41	Ref
8	5 or more	14.37	3.52 (3.21 - 3.86)	20.99	5.14 (4.70 - 5.63)	4.08	Ref
Se.	x						
F	emale	13.98	3.29 (3.03 - 3.58)	19.06	4.49 (4.13 - 4.88)	4.24	Ref
N	Male	17.13	4.01 (3.53 - 4.55)	25.14	5.88 (5.20 - 6.65)	4.27	Ref
cc	VID-19 Vaccine						
<	2 doses	15.00	3.59 (3.34 - 3.85)	21.43	5.12 (4.77 - 5.50)	4.19	Ref
>	= 2 doses	14.56	2.81 (2.18 - 3.63)	14.40	2.78 (2.13 - 3.64)	5.18	Ref
Inc	lex Period						
L	anuary - June 2020	18.33	4.31 (3.86 - 4.83)	18.71	4.40 (3.91 - 4.96)	4.25	Ref
J	uly - December 2020	12.75	3.11 (2.75 - 3.51)	20.58	5.02 (4.45 - 5.66)	4.10	Ref
J	anuary - June 2021	13.34	3.14 (2.71 - 3.63)	26.54	6.24 (5.46 - 7.14)	4.25	Ref
J	uly - December 2021	13.95	2.66 (2.03 - 3.50)	13.17	2.52 (1.87 - 3.38)	5.23	Ref

Predictors of Antibiotic Prescribing in Outpatients with COVID-19 Antibiotic Prescribing Rates per 1000 PD and IRR in Community Residents Pre-Diagnosis Post-Diagnosis **Control Period** Variable Rate IRR Rate IRR Rate IRR 10.47 4.12 (3.92 - 4.33) 9.78 3.84 (3.65 - 4.04) All 2.54 Ref Age (years) Ref 65 to 74 9.38 4.68 (4.37 - 5.01) 8.60 4.29 (4.00 - 4.60) 2.00 Ref 75 to 84 11.73 4.30 (3.92 - 4.71) 10.62 3.89 (3.54 - 4.28) 2.73 Ref 85 or more 12.68 2.80 (2.50 - 3.14) 13.79 3.05 (2.73 - 3.41) 4.52 Ref Sex Ref Female 10.69 3.91 (3.66 - 4.19) 10.08 3.69 (3.45 - 3.95) Ref 2.73 10.23 4.37 (4.06 - 4.70) 9.45 4.04 (3.74 - 4.35) 2.34 Ref Male COVID-19 Vaccine Ref 4.27 (4.04 - 4.52) < 2 doses 11.34 4.48 (4.24 - 4.73) 10.82 2.53 Ref 6.74 2.60 (2.30 - 2.93) 5.64 2.17 (1.92 - 2.46) >= 2 doses 2.60 Ref Index Period Ref January - June 2020 18.38 4.53 (3.84 - 5.33) 13.15 3.24 (2.70 - 3.89) 4.06 Ref July - December 2020 11.90 4.67 (4.19 - 5.19) 9.62 3.77 (3.37 - 4.21) 2.55 Ref January - June 2021 9.93 4.15 (3.86 - 4.46) 10.60 4.43 (4.12 - 4.76) 2.39 Ref July - December 2021 8.17 3.31 (2.97 - 3.69) 7.44 3.02 (2.71 - 3.36) 2.47 Ref Slide c/o Dr. D. MacFadden

## **Predictors of Antibiotic Prescribing in Outpatients with COVID-19**

Multivariable Regression Analysis by Time Period and Population (IRR, 95%CI)

	Nu	rsing Home Reside	ents	c	ommunity Residen	ts
Variable	Pre-diagnosis	Post- diagnosis	Control Period	Pre-diagnosis	Post-diagnosis	Control Period
Demographics						
Age	0.99 (0.99 - 1.00)	1.00 (1.00 - 1.01)	1.00 (0.99 - 1.01)	1.01 (1.01 - 1.02)	1.02 (1.01 - 1.02)	1.02 (1.01 - 1.02)
Female	0.84 (0.75 - 0.95)	0.74 (0.67 - 0.82)	1.02 (0.91 - 1.15)	1.00 (0.93 - 1.07)	0.99 (0.92 - 1.07)	1.07 (0.98 - 1.16)
COVID-19 Vaccinated (>=2 doses)	1.18 (0.78 - 1.78)	0.66 (0.44 – 0.99)	1.09 (0.67 - 1.76)	0.43 (0.37 - 0.51)	0.31 (0.26 - 0.37)	1.18 (0.95 - 1.46)
Healthcare Utilization						
Physician Visits Prior 12-mo	1.01 (1.00 -1.01)	1.00 (1.00 - 1.01)	1.00 (1.00 - 1.01)	1.01 (1.01 - 1.02)	1.01 (1.01 - 1.01)	1.02 (1.02 - 1.03)
Hospitalizations Prior 12-mo	0.94 (0.86 - 1.02)	1.02 (0.95 - 1.09)	1.24 (1.16 - 1.33)	0.92 (0.87 - 0.98)	0.99 (0.93 - 1.05)	1.26 (1.20 - 1.34)
Receipt of Antibiotics Prior 6-mo	2.23 (1.94 - 2.57)	1.41 (1.26 - 1.57)	3.49 (3.06 - 3.97)	1.89 (1.76 - 2.03)	1.71 (1.59 - 1.85)	4.28 (3.94 - 4.64)







What is the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on AMR at the population level?

#### Systematic Review Methodology

- Search: World Health Organization (WHO) COVID-19 Research Database searched for published literature on 'bacterial infection' in any language from January 2019-December 2021. Forward citation search to June 2022.
- Inclusion: study reports on AMR before vs. during pandemic or associated with COVID-19 cases, any patient population.
- Outcomes: incidence rate ratio of AMR OR risk ratio of AMR. Pooled across Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms, stratified by the reporting of enhanced IPAC measures and/or antimicrobial stewardship programs
- Quality: assessed using a risk of bias tool for prevalence studies Langford BJ, et al. Clinical Microbiology and Infection. 2022. Hoy D, et al. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 2012;65(9):934-9.

PublicHealthOntario.ca

#### **Definition of AMR**

AMR includes any of the following pathogens and resistance phenotypes, as defined by study authors:

- methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA),
- vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE),
- extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing (or third-generation cephalosporin-resistant) Enterobacterales,
- carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales (CRE),
- carbapenem-R or multi-drug resistant (MDR) Pseudomonas aeruginosa,
- carbapenem-R or MDR Acinetobacter baumannii







Study	Durin Events	g COVID Time	Pr Events	re-COVID Time	In	cidence Rate Ratio	IRR	95%-Cl	in Gram positive A
Lo S-H, 2020 (MRSA)	45	35000	100	75500		+	0.97	[0.68; 1.38]	identine
Ochoa-Hein E, 2021 (MRSA)	0	14372	2	72832			- 1.01	[0.05; 21.11]	
Wee LEI, 2021 (MRSA)	169	264904	1194	1020463		<b>#</b>	0.55	[0.46; 0.64]	
Porto APM, 2022 (MRSA)	21	62279	10	56425			1.90	[0.90; 4.04]	
Evans ME, 2022 (MRSA)	306	2553235	213	2693347		*	1.52	[1.27; 1.81]	
Chamieh A, 2021 (VRE)	4	51852	10	75000	-		0.58	[0.18; 1.84]	
Lo S-H, 2020 (VRE)	18	35000	62	75500		-=	0.63	[0.37; 1.06]	
Porto APM, 2022 (VRE)	7	62279	1	56425			6.34	[0.78; 51.55]	
Common effect model						\$	0.86	[0.78: 0.95]	
Random effects model					_	<del>\</del>	0.99	[0.67; 1.47]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 91\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$ .	1909, <i>p</i> <	0.01							
					0.1	0.512 10			

Study	During Events	COVID Total	Pre- Events	-COVID Total	Ris	k Ratio	RR	9	95%-CI (	Weight common)	Weight (random)	- No c in G
IPAC/ASP = Yes Bentivegna E, 2021 (MRSA Tham N, 2022 (MRSA) Gisselo KL, 2022 (VRE) Tham N, 2022 (VRE)	() 22 8 12 5	483 2530 21082 2530	137 8 121 2	1134 3415 25130 3415			0.38 1.35 0.12 3.37	[0.24; [0.51; [0.07; [0.66;	0.58] 3.59] 0.21] 17.38]	0.1% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0%	9.8% 7.6% 9.3% 5.0%	positiv iden
Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 89\%$ , $\tau^2 =$	1.8181, <i>p</i> <	0.01		33094	-	-	0.29	[0.15;	2.42]	0.1%	31.6%	
IPAC/ASP = No or unknov Guven DC, 2021 (MRSA) Hirabayashi A, 2021 (MRSA) La Vecchia A, 2022 (MRSA) Despotovic A, 2021 (MRSA) Despotovic A, 2021 (VRE) Mares C, 2022 (VRE) Polemis M, 2021 (VRE) Common effect model Heterogeneity: J <sup>2</sup> = 89%, x <sup>2</sup> =	vn 2 A) 109000 26 A) 26 257 11 2 233 0.0480, p <	43 230000 17348 99 631 15 81 973 <b>249190</b> 0.01	0 244500 125 50 612 27 3 224	55 522500 63904 156 2201 44 174 1392 590426		*	6.38 1.01 0.77 0.82 1.46 1.20 1.43 1.49 1.01 1.15	[0.31; 1 [1.01; [0.50; [0.55; [1.30; [0.81; [0.24; [1.01: [0.94;	129.46] 1.02] 1.17] 1.22] 1.64] 1.76] 8.40] 1.75] 1.02] 1.41]	0.0% 99.5% 0.0% 0.2% 0.0% 0.0% 0.1% 99.9%	2.2% 10.7% 9.9% 10.0% 10.6% 10.6% 4.6% 10.5%	
Random effects model		075015		600500		1	0.91	10.55	1.00]	100.0%	100.0%	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 92\%$ , $\tau^2 =$	0.6089, <i>p</i> <	0.01		0.01	0.1	1 10	100	[0.00,				



oluuj	Events	Total	Events	Total	Risk	Ratio	RR	ş	95%-CI (	common) (	random)	-increase
IPAC/ASP = Yes Bentivegna E, 2021 (Pseudomonas Lemenand O, 2021 (ESBL) Tham N, 2022 (ESBL) Wardoyo EH, 2021 (ESBL) Micozzi A, 2021 (CRE)	) 4 7517 16 44 19	384 259388 2530 62 123	25 15547 7 116 42	1134 505945 3415 148 80			0.47 0.94 3.09 0.91 0.29	[0.17; [0.92; [1.27; [0.76; [0.19;	1.35] 0.97] 7.49] 1.08] 0.47]	0.0% 8.6% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0%	2.0% 6.5% 2.5% 6.1% 4.5%	- Increa Gram negative
Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 88\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.6321$ , j	0 < 0.01				-	-	0.80	[0.38;	1.70]	-	21.5%	signa
IPAC/ASP = No or unknown Despolovic A, 2021 (Pseudomonas Tirzbayashi A, 2021 (Pseudomonas) Tirzbayashi A, 2021 (Pseudomonas) Polemis M, 2021 (Pseudomonas) Despolovic A, 2021 (Pseudomonas) Polemis M, 2021 (Pseudomonas) Despolovic A, 2021 (Pseus) Hirabayashi A, 2021 (ESBL) Hirabayashi A, 2021 (ESBL) Hirabayashi A, 2021 (ESBL) Mares C, 2022 (ESBL) Mares C, 2022 (ESBL) Despotovic A, 2021 (CSFE) Guven DC, 2021 (CRFE) Guven DC, 2021 (CRFE) Mares C, 2022 (CRFE) Polemis M, 2020 (CRFE) Common entect moder	) 20 i) 14000 2 3 514 115 2197 72000 28 102 20 1 3 22 1063	22 116500 4 7 1166 115 2257 10 43 368500 35 735 24 43 43 16 417 1938	35 28000 14 0 1326 57 2985 52 12 137000 103 117 26 1 18 8 19 1853	42 245000 39 10 285 58 3133 55 55 767000 158 1149 51 55 172 66 64085			1.09 1.05 1.39 9.80 0.95 1.02 1.02 0.86 1.28 1.09 1.23 1.36 1.63 1.28 1.79 1.77 1.21	[0.90; [1.03; [0.48; [0.59; 1] [0.88; [1.01; [1.063; [0.64; [1.00; [1.06; [1.06; [1.16; [0.97; [0.97; [1.03; [1.03;	1.32] 1.07] 4.04] 1.02] 1.05] 1.03] 1.03] 1.18] 2.56] 1.10] 1.18] 2.56] 1.10] 1.55] 2.26] 1.987] 5.44] 3.22] 1.27] 1.20]	0.0% 14.7% 0.0% 0.6% 0.1% 2.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0	6.0% 6.5% 1.9% 6.4% 6.5% 5.4% 6.5% 5.4% 6.0% 5.7% 6.0% 5.3% 0.4% 1.8% 3.3% 6.4%	Particula setting withou enhanc IPAC/A
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 90\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.0133$ ,	0 < 0.01					[		[1.03,	1.20]		70.3%	
Random effects model		754040		Г	T	•	1.08	[0.91;	1.29]		100.0%	



Because of pandemic impacts, 2020 for 9 of the 18 antimicrobial resistance	data are delayed or unavailable ce threats.
Clostridioides difficile (C. diff)	Drug-resistant Shigella     Drug-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae
Drug-resistant <i>Campylobacter</i> Drug-resistant nontyphoidal <i>Salmonella</i>	<ul> <li>Erythromycin-resistant group A Streptococcus</li> <li>Clindamycin-resistant group B Streptococcus</li> </ul>
Available data show an alarming incr	rease in resistant infections starting during
 Carbapenem-resistant <i>Acinetobacter</i> (+78%) Antifungal-resistant <i>Candida auris</i> (+60%)* Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales (+35%)	<ul> <li>ESBL-producing Enterobacterales (+32%)</li> <li>Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (+14%)</li> <li>Multidrug-resistant <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (+32%)</li> </ul>
Antifungal-resistant Candida (†26%)	<ul> <li>Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (+13%)</li> </ul>













#### **Conclusions**

- Antimicrobial use is generally high in COVID-19 patients while rates of coinfection and secondary infection outside of the ICU are low
- Antimicrobials use is highly heterogeneous but there is overuse in patients with COVID-19 in all settings
- The impact of the pandemic on antibiotic use for non COVID-19 indication depended on a variety of factors (prevailing respiratory infection, access to care)
- COVID-19 may exacerbate AMR particularly in hospital settings, for Gramnegative organisms, and in low-resource settings
- These findings reinforce the need for bolstered infection prevention, antimicrobial stewardship, and AMR surveillance in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond

PublicHealthOntario.ca





### **Toronto Antimicrobial Resistance Research Network Team**

Nick Daneman Derek MacFadden Ashley Farrell Kwadwo Mponponsuo Tiffany Kan Jacob Portnoff Brad Langford Sumit Raybardhan Angela Kwan Mia Sapin Elizabeth Leung Marina Simeonova Felicia Leung Miranda So Jean-Paul Soucy Valerie Leung Jennifer Lo Duncan Westwood



#### PublicHealthOnta



