Graduate Studies Competency	GS Definition/Description	Rubric Element		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1 NA	
Knowledge of the Discipline	Students demonstrate broad knowledge of the discipline, and deep knowledge of at least one subject matter.	Connections to Discipline	s' c	ndependently creates ynthesizes or draws onclusions by combining xamples, facts, or theories.	Independently connects examples, facts, or theories	When prompted, connects examples, facts or theories.	When prompted, presents examples, facts or theories.	
Critical Thinking	Students are able to critically analyze and synthesize new and complex information from diverse sources.	Evidence	s ir d a V	nformation is taken from ource(s) with enough nterpretation/ evaluation to levelop a comprehensive nalysis or synthesis. 'iewpoints of experts are juestioned thoroughly.	Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/ evaluation to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are subject to questioning.	Information is taken from source(s) with some interpretation/ evaluation, but not enough to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are taken as mostly fact, with little questioning.	Information is taken from source(s) without any interpretation/ evaluation. Viewpoints of experts are taken as fact, without question.	
		Conclusions and Related Outcomes	o ir r e	onclusions and related outcomes (consequences and mplications) are logical and eflect student's informed valuation and ability to place vidence and perspectives liscussed in priority order.	Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is logically tied to information (because information is chosen to fit the desired conclusion); some related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are oversimplified.	
Research Skills	Students pursuing research-based degrees (e.g., Ph.D.) are able to independently identify significant unanswered questions in their discipline, and to both propose and execute original research that addresses those questions using appropriate methodologies.	Topic Selection	a a s	dentifies a creative, focused nd manageable topic that ddresses potentially ignificant yet previously less xplored aspects of the topic.	Identifies a focused and manageable topic that appropriately addresses relevant aspects of the topic.	ldentifies a topic that while doable, is too narrowly focused and leaves out relevant aspects of the topic.	Identifies a topic that is far too general and wide-ranging as to be manageable and doable.	
		Existing Knowledge, Research, and/or Views	ir S	ynthesizes in-depth nformation from relevant ources representing various ioints of view/approaches.	Presents in-depth information from relevant sources representing various points of view/approaches.	Presents information from relevant sources representing limited points of view/approaches.	Presents information from irrelevant sources representing limited points of view/approaches.	
		Design Process	n fi d n fi s d	Il elements of the nethodology or theoretical ramework are skillfully leveloped. Appropriate nethodology or theoretical rameworks may be ynthesized from across lisciplines or from relevant ubdisciplines.	Critical elements of the methodology or theoretical framework are appropriately developed, however, more subtle elements are ignored or unaccounted for.	Critical elements of the methodology or theoretical framework are missing, incorrectly developed, or unfocused.	Inquiry design demonstrates a misunderstanding of the methodology or theoretical framework.	
		Analysis	e p	Organizes and synthesizes widence to reveal insightful aatterns, differences, or imilarities related to focus.	Organizes evidence to reveal important patterns, differences, or similarities related to focus.	Organizes evidence, but the organization is not effective in revealing important patterns, differences, or similarities.	Lists evidence, but it is not organized and/or is unrelated to focus.	
		Conclusions	lo	tates a conclusion that is a ogical extrapolation from the nquiry findings.	States a conclusion focused solely on the inquiry findings. The conclusion arises specifically from and responds specifically to the inquiry findings.	States a general conclusion that, because it is so general, also applies beyond the scope of the inquiry findings.	States an ambiguous, illogical, or unsupportable conclusion from inquiry findings.	
		Limitations and Implications	r	nsightfully discusses in detail elevant and supported mitations and implications.	Discusses relevant and supported limitations and implications.	Presents relevant and supported limitations and implications.	Presents limitations and implications, but they are possibly irrelevant and unsupported.	
Professional Behavior	Students are committed to integrity within all aspects of their chosen profession, including research, and abide by existing professional standards. They are able to work in teams and assume responsibility for their actions and their career aspirations.	Ethical Reasoning	c q a c	applies ethical perspectives/ oncepts to an ethical juestion independently, ccurately, and is able to onsider full implications of he application.	concepts to an ethical		Applies ethical perspectives/ concepts to an ethical question with support (using examples, in a class, in a group, or a fixed-choice setting) but is unable to apply ethical perspectives/ concepts independently (to a new example.).	
		Ethical Use of Information	ti s p q v b a a a a a c c c c	tudents use correctly all of he following information use trategies (use of citations nd references; choice of paraphrasing, summary, or juoting; using information in vays that are true to original ontext; distinguishing netween common knowledge nd ideas requiring ttribution) and demonstrate full understanding of the thical and legal restrictions on the use of published, onfidential, and/or iroprietary information.	and ideas requiring	-	the following information use strategies (use of citations and references; choice of paraphrasing, summary, or quoting; using information in ways that are true to original context; distinguishing	

Communication Skills	Students demonstrate effective oral, written, and visual communication skills appropriate to their discipline for professional publications and presentations, teaching, grant writing, and dissemination of knowledge to lay audiences.	Integrated Communication	Fulfills the assignment(s) by choosing a format, language, or graph (or other visual representation) in ways that enhance meaning, making clear the interdependence of language and meaning, thought, and expression.	Fulfills the assignment(s) by choosing a format, language, or graph (or other visual representation) to explicitly connect content and form, demonstrating awareness of purpose and audience.	Fulfills the assignment(s) by choosing a format, language, or graph (or other visual representation) that connects in a basic way what is being communicated (content) with how it is said (form).	Fulfills the assignment(s) (i.e. to produce an essay, a poster, a video, a PowerPoint presentation, etc.) in an appropriate form.
		Written Communication: Content Development	Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to illustrate mastery of the subject, conveying the writer's understanding, and shaping the whole work.	Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to explore ideas within the context of the discipline and shape the whole work.	Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop and explore ideas through most of the work.	Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop simple ideas in some parts of the work.
		Control of Syntax and Mechanics	Uses graceful language that skillfully communicates meaning to readers with clarity and fluency, and is virtually error-free.	Uses straightforward language that generally conveys meaning to readers. The language in the portfolio has few errors.	Uses language that generally conveys meaning to readers with clarity, although writing may include some errors.	Uses language that sometimes impedes meaning because of errors in usage.
		Oral Communication:				
		Organization	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is clearly and consistently observable and is skillful and makes the content of the presentation cohesive.		Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is intermittently observable within the presentation.	Organizational pattern (specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions) is not observable within the presentation.
		Language	Language choices are imaginative, memorable, and compelling, and enhance the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is appropriate to audience.	Language choices are thoughtful and generally support the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is appropriate to audience.		Language choices are unclear and minimally support the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in presentation is not appropriate to audience.
		Delivery	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation compelling, and speaker appears polished and confident.	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation interesting, and speaker appears comfortable.	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation understandable, and speaker appears tentative.	Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) detract from the understandability of the presentation, and speaker appears uncomfortable.
		Supporting Material	A variety of types of supporting materials (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make appropriate reference to information or analysis that significantly supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/ authority on the topic.	Supporting materials (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make appropriate reference to information or analysis that generally supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/ authority on the topic.	Supporting materials (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make appropriate reference to information or analysis that partially supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/ authority on the topic.	Insufficient supporting materials (explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, quotations from relevant authorities) make reference to information or analysis that minimally supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/ authority on the topic.
		Central Message	Central message is compelling (precisely stated, appropriately repeated, memorable, and strongly supported.)	Central message is clear and consistent with the supporting material.	Central message is basically understandable but is not often repeated and is not memorable.	Central message can be deduced, but is not explicitly stated in the presentation.
		Visual Aids	Visual aids were carefully prepared and supported the presentation effectively. They clarified and reinforced the spoken message. The visual aids added impact and interest to the presentation.	Visual aids supported the presentation effectively. They clarified and reinforced the spoken message.	Visual aids were occassionally appropriate and related to the spoken message.	

Ability to Field Tech Questions	questions and their context. Coherently and succinctly provides answers to the understanding of audience with strong technical	Understands questions and has a satisfacory understanding of their context. Coherently provides answers with sufficient technical evidence backing response.	questions. Has the ability to communicate answers to a	Often misunderstands questions. Poor ability to communicate a clear answer or solution to questions.	
	evidence backing response.	response.			