# Introduction to the Science of Team Science

### Wayne T. McCormack, PhD

Distinguished Teaching Scholar & Professor, UF College of Medicine Director, Clinical & Translational Science PhD Program and TL1 Program President, International Network for the Science of Team Science *mccormac@ufl.edu* 





INSCITS Building the knowledge base for effective team science

nternational Network for the Science of Team Science

The UF-FSU hub is supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under University of Florida Clinical and Translational Science Awards UL1TR001427, KL2TR001429 and TL1TR001428.



# Outline

- Collaboration challenges
- Team Science definitions
- Evidence for impact of team science
- How you can develop team science skills



# Collaboration challenges

- Team Science definitions
- Evidence for impact of team science
- How you can develop team science skills

# How many of YOU ...

are part of a research team?
do interdisciplinary research?
do multidisciplinary research?
do transdisciplinary research?
knew there was a difference among those terms?

• have experienced a good collaboration?

• have experienced a bad collaboration?

# Team Science = Collaboration?

- Yes, but ...
  - It is more
  - Collaboration is influencing the practice of science
  - Cross-disciplinary collaboration is influencing production of knowledge

# **Published in Science**

Identify two challenges

"The interdisciplinary approach is becoming one of the prominent characteristics of [science] and represents a synthesizing trend which focuses the specialized research techniques on problems common to a number of separate disciplines.

Such cooperative research has to overcome serious obstacles when operating within the existing departmentalized framework of the universities. It appears that real progress in this direction will be made in institutions which are organized on a permanent and frankly cooperative basis.

Psychologically, interdisciplinary research requires not only abstract, theoretical intelligence..., but also 'social intelligence.' Cooperative work is a social art and has to be practiced with patience."

# **Collaboration Challenges**

- Problems of Infrastructure -Tangible and Tacit
  - Inherent challenge associated with structure of the modern university, *i.e.*, the disciplinebound department
  - Tacit norms that hinder interaction
  - Reward structures that focus on individual effort

- Problems of Interaction
  - Difficulty inherent in communicating and collaborating across disciplines
  - Patience and social intelligence are necessary precursors to effective collaboration in such environments

# Why was that quote informative?

- Anyone involved in collaboration has probably experienced both challenges
- What is informative is not just <u>what</u> was said, but <u>when</u> it was said
  - One of first articles specifically addressing interdisciplinary research (Brozek & Keys, 1944)
- Science still struggles, can we overcome these challenges?



- Increased emphasis on collaborative research that creates teams of scientists to address complex phenomena
  - Funders (e.g., NIH) are specifically encouraging and supporting collaborative research projects
- Academia, Industry & Policy communities all making more of a concerted effort to study scientific collaboration

# Can we overcome the challenges? YES!



 Tremendous growth in the study and understanding of groups and teams

- Scientific study of teamwork can be a true catalyst for change
  - Matured into its own area of inquiry producing a rich base of knowledge
  - Helps us to better understand complex coordination used by teams



TS Building the knowledge base for effective team science

# Can we overcome the challenges? YES!



- Collaboration challenges
- Team Science definitions
- Evidence for impact of team science
- How you can develop team science skills

- What is a "team"?
- Groups vs. teams
- Disciplinary, multidisciplinary, interdisciplinary, transdisciplinary, cross-disciplinary

# Definitions

# Definitions

| Teams              | two or more people working<br>interdependently (collaborating) towards a<br>shared common goal or task |
|--------------------|--|
| Team-Building      | process of gathering the "right" people & getting them to work together to accomplish a goal/task      |
| Team<br>Leadership | guiding a group of individuals to work as a<br>unit to accomplish a goal/task                          |

# Group vs. Team



# Group vs. Team



# Group vs. Team

|            | Groups            | Teams              |
|------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Members    | Independent       | Interdependent     |
| Goals      | Individual Shared |                    |
| Identity   | Individual (me)   | Shared (we)        |
| Leadership | Often single      | May be shared      |
| Products   | Individual        | Collective         |
| Reward     | Individual        | Collective         |
| Cohesion   | None/limited      | Esprit de corps    |
| Conflict   | Reactive          | Expected/proactive |



# Collaboration Across Disciplines: Some More Definitions

• Unidisciplinary



**Cross-Disciplinary** 

- Multidisciplinary
  - additive, complementary, independent, sequential
- Interdisciplinary interactive, combine, integrate

### Transdisciplinary

holistic, transcend disciplinary perspectives, new methodologic or conceptual frameworks

# **Team Science > Collaboration**

### Low

### **Level of Interaction and Integration**

### High

### Investigatorinitiated research

 Investigator works on a scientific problem with own research team



### **Research Collaboration**

- Group works on a scientific problem, each bringing some expertise to the problem
- Each member works on a separate part, which are integrated at the end
- The interaction of the lead investigators varies from limited to frequent with regard to data sharing or brainstorming

### Integrated Research Team

- Team works on a research problem with each member bringing specific expertise to the table
- There are regular meetings and discussions of the team's overall goals, objectives of the individuals on the team, data sharing, and next steps
- One person takes the lead while other members have key leadership roles in achieving the goal

Adapted from "Team Science: Building Successful Research Collaborations" by L. Michelle Bennett, PhD, Deputy Scientific Director, NHLBI, NIH and Howard Gadlin, PhD, Ombudsman, OD, NIH. PPT presented at University of Iowa, January 2013



- Collaboration challenges
- Team Science definitions
- Evidence for impact of team science
- How you can develop team science skills

# Is there evidence for impact of team science?

# The Increasing Dominance of Teams in Production of Knowledge

Stefan Wuchty,<sup>1</sup>\* Benjamin F. Jones,<sup>2</sup>\* Brian Uzzi<sup>1,2</sup>\*†

We have used 19.9 million papers over 5 decades and 2.1 million patents to demonstrate that teams increasingly dominate solo authors in the production of knowledge. Research is increasingly done in teams across nearly all fields. Teams typically produce more frequently cited research than individuals do, and this advantage has been increasing over time. Teams now also produce the exceptionally high-impact research, even where that distinction was once the domain of solo authors. These results are detailed for sciences and engineering, social sciences, arts and humanities, and patents, suggesting that the process of knowledge creation has fundamentally changed.

18 MAY 2007 VOL 316 SCIENCE www.sciencemag.org

# How has team size grown?



**Fig. 1.** The growth of teams. These plots present changes over time in the fraction of papers and patents written in teams (**A**) and in mean team size (**B**). Each line represents the arithmetic average taken over all subfields in each year.

# Is the shift to teamwork seen in all fields?

**Table 1.** Patterns by subfield. For the three broad ISI categories and for patents, we counted the number (*N*) and percentage (%) of subfields that show (i) larger team sizes in the last 5 years compared to the first 5 years and (ii) RTI measures larger than 1 in the last 5 years. We show RTI measures both with and without self-citations removed in calculating the citations received. Dash entries indicate data not applicable.

|                         |                            | Incre<br>team              | asing<br>1 size | RTI<br>(with self | > 1<br>-citations) | RTI :<br>no self-c) | > 1<br>itations) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|                         | <b>N</b> <sub>fields</sub> | <b>N</b> <sub>fields</sub> | %               | <b>N</b> fields   | %                  | <b>N</b> fields     | %                |
| Science and engineering | 171                        | 170                        | 99.4            | 167               | 97.7               | 159                 | 92.4             |
| Social sciences         | 54                         | 54                         | 100.0           | 54                | 100.0              | 51                  | 94.4             |
| Arts and humanities     | 27                         | 24                         | 88.9            | 23                | 85.2               | 18                  | 66.7             |
| Patents                 | 36                         | 36                         | 100.0           | 32                | 88.9               | -                   | -                |

mean # citations team-authored

RTI, relative team impact =

mean # citations solo-authored

## How is team size related to impact?

### **Relative Team Impact (RTI)**

RTI = mean # citations team-authored mean # citations solo-authored

**Fig. 2.** The relative impact of teams. (**A** to **D**) Mean team size comparing all papers and patents with those that received more citations than average in the relevant subfield. (**E** to **H**) The RTI, which is the mean number of citations received by team-authored work divided by the mean number of citations received by solo-authored work. A ratio of 1 indicates that team- and solo-authored work have equivalent impact on average. Each point represents the RTI for a given subfield and year, whereas the black lines present the arithmetic average in a given year.





- Collaboration challenges
- Team Science definitions
- Evidence for impact of team science
- How you can develop team science skills

# How can we USE team science?

- Recognize scientific problems that would best be answered using a team science approach
- Interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary research require action
  - connecting or interacting among disciplines
- Not just any activity, but team activity: a process engaged by members of a coordinated scientific team
  - two or more people working interdependently (collaborating) towards a shared common goal or task

# How can we USE team science?

### Use the Science of Team Science

 Understand and improve how scientists interact and integrate across disciplinary, professional, and institutional boundaries



• What knowledge, skills, and attitudes are important?

# **Team Science Competencies**

### Lotrecchiano et al., 2020

| COMPETENCY<br>DOMAINS                 | INDIVIDUALTEAMCOMPETENCIESCOMPETENCIES   |               |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------|
| Facilitating Team<br>Affect (Bonding) | Self-Awareness     Cognitive Openness     Building Trust   |               |
| Team<br>Communication                 | Facilitating Awareness         Facilitating Awareness         Exchange         Communication               | Improved Team |
| Managing Team<br>Research             | Interdisciplinary Team Management  | Colloboration |
| Collaborative<br>Problem-Solving      | Team Learning & Adaptive Behaviors     Cross-Disciplinary Collaboration                                    | Success       |
| Team Leadership                       | Passion & • Team Roles • Understanding Complexity     Perseverance • Shared Visioning • Meeting Management |               |

# **Team Science Skill Development**

| Human Nature  | Communication  | Team Science  | Collaborative  | Leadership   |
|---|--|---|--|--|
|   | Skills   | Tools   | Problem-Solving  | Skills   |
| <ul> <li>Personality<br/>Traits<br/>(e.g., Myers-Briggs)</li> <li>Behavior<br/>Traits (e.g., DISC)</li> <li>Emotional<br/>Intelligence<br/>(e.g., EQi)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Listening<br/>Skills</li> <li>Constructive<br/>Feedback</li> <li>Conflict<br/>Management</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Team Design<br/>&amp; Diversity</li> <li>Goal Setting</li> <li>Collaboration<br/>Plans</li> <li>Authorship<br/>Agreements</li> <li>Task &amp;<br/>Support<br/>Behaviors</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Collaboration<br/>Experience</li> <li>within your<br/>team</li> <li>within your<br/>discipline</li> <li>with other<br/>disciplines</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Leadership<br/>Styles<br/>(Kouzes &amp; Posner)</li> <li>Stages of<br/>Team<br/>Performance<br/>(Tuckman)</li> <li>Situational<br/>Leadership<br/>(Hersey &amp;<br/>Blanchard)</li> </ul> |

# Can the Use of Competencies Support Productive Mentoring?

### PROBLEM

- PhD scientist training has traditionally been an apprenticeship
- When is a grad student or postdoc ready to finish?
- "I know it when I see it"
- COMPETENCY
  - The ability to do something successfully or efficiently (Oxford Dictionary)

### GOAL

- To define required knowledge, skills and attitudes to do something
- A LIST OF COMPETENCIES IS NOT ENOUGH
  - Must be linked to formative assessment

### Milestone Approach: Learning Is a Developmental Process

- Milestones: expectations for the knowledge, skills and attitudes at stages of development, demonstrated by observable behaviors
  - Verderame et al., 2018. Competency-based assessment for the training of PhD students and early-career scientists. eLife 7:e34801

| Dreyfus &  | Novice  | Advanced Beginner   | Competent  | Proficient   | Expert  |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Dreyfus Levels<br>of Skill<br>Acquisition        | Rule-based behavior,<br>limited, inflexible   | Incorporates aspects of the situation   | Acts consciously from<br>long-term goals and<br>plans  | Sees situation as a whole and acts from personal conviction  | Has intuitive<br>understanding of<br>situations, zooms in on<br>central aspects |
| Translational<br>Scientist<br>Training<br>Stages | Beginning PhD Student /<br>Clinician beginning<br>research training with little<br>or no experience | Advanced PhD Student /<br>Clinician Scientist during<br>early research training | Defending PhD Student /<br>Beginning Postdoctoral /<br>Clinician Scientist during<br>later research training | Postdoctoral Trainee /<br>Early Career Scientist /<br>Clin Sci near end of<br>research training /<br>Residents/Fellows | Science Professional /<br>Research Team Leaders<br>(may be Aspirational)        |
|  |   |   | MILESTONES   |  |   |
| Observable<br>Behaviors                          | discuss, describe,<br>follow  | identify, use,<br>explain   | design, develop,<br>evaluate   | plan, adjust, teach  | lead, review,<br>mentor   |

### **Proposed Process for Mentor & Self-Assessment**

#### **DOMAIN EXPERT**

### A. Historical context of a specific area



### **BOUNDARY CROSSER**

- A. Knowledge Base for Multiple Disciplines
  B. Broad Scientific Approaches
  C. Translational Phase Versatility
  C. Interprofessional Skills
  E. Problem-Solving
  DOMAIN EXPERT
  A. Historical Context of a Specific Area
- B. Current Content Expertise in a Specific Area  $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
- C. Tools and Approaches for a Specific Area  $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$

Trainee Faculty Match

0 1 2 3 4 5

### **Translational Research & Translational Science**



https://ncats.nih.gov/about/about-translational-science/spectrum

Faupel-Badger et al., 2022



CTSA Groups and Meeting > Working Groups > Translational Science Competency-Based Assessment

#### Need Help

### Translational Science Competency-Based Assessment

### Overview

This Working Group aims to identify the most relevant competencies for translational science to develop, pilot test, and implement a competencybased assessment tool for the training of translational scientists. Competencybased assessments (CBA) are utilized in health professions education but are not common in biomedical science graduate education nor in postdoctoral training. The creation and use of a CBA tool will provide trainees with a framework for assessing learning outcomes and optimizing mentored research training experiences.



Log In



Develop the competency list.



**Group Goals** 

Calibrate milestones for competency levels.



Pilot-test the final TS-CBA tool.

# CTSA Working Group

### **CTSA Competencies**



### **Ten Translational Science Competency Domains**



### **46 Translational Science Competencies**

### **BOUNDARY CROSSER**

A. Use knowledge from multiple disciplinesB. Use broad scientific approachesC. Participate across translational phasesD. Engage stakeholders across professionsE. Engage with communities

### **DOMAIN EXPERT**

A. Use historical context of a specific areaB. Use current content expertise in the specific areaC. Use tools and approaches for the specific area

### **TEAM PLAYER**

A. Demonstrate a cross-disciplinary, collaborative mindsetB. Demonstrate reflective awareness in a team environmentC. Apply strategies to work effectively within diverse teams

### SKILLED COMMUNICATOR

- A. Practice effective oral presentation skills
- B. Write and review scientific manuscripts for publication
- C. Write and submit research grant proposals
- D. Communicate effectively with patients & community members
- E. Communicate effectively with funders
- F. Communicate effectively with policy-makers

### **PROCESS INNOVATOR**

- A. Focus on unmet needs
- B. Use creativity & innovation
- C. Seek efficiency & speed
- D. Find generalizable & impactful solutions

### **46 Translational Science Competencies**

### **SYSTEMS THINKER**

A. Operate within a system of therapeutic innovationB. Leverage interconnections of translational researchC. Integrate patient perspectives

### **RIGOROUS RESEARCHER**

A. Recognize important questions

- B. Design and execute experimental/study protocols
- C. Interpret data & troubleshoot technical issues
- D. Design & manage a research program
- E. Apply basic statistical analysis methods
- F. Use appropriate informatics methods
- G. Manage research data

H. Conduct research according to lab safety & regulatory policies

### ETHICAL RESEARCHER

- A. Practice responsible conduct of research (RCR)
- B. Apply ethical decision-making in RCR
- C. Display moral courage and research integrity

### **RESILIENT SCIENTIST**

- A. Motivate self and others
- B. Demonstrate perseverance
- C. Adapt to new situations & challenges
- D. Seek professional growth opportunities
- E. Build professional network

### **RESEARCH LEADER**

- A. Develop an inclusive and shared vision
- B. Foster integration and a collaborative environment
- C. Practices effective organization and planning skills
- D. Empower progressive decision making
- E. Facilitate collaborative problem-solving
- F. Promote a culture of trust and psychological safety

### Value of Using a Translational Scientist Competency-Based Mentoring Tool

- Applicants and new Trainees & Scholars informed about expectations
- Tool for self-assessment and self-directed learning throughout training and future research careers
- Tool for mentor assessment of training progress toward acquiring the knowledge, skills and attitudes expected of a translational scientist
- Support productive mentoring conversations
- Combined with curricular mapping and program enhancement plans, provide a framework for continuous improvement of learning objectives, training, and assessment



## Resources

 Bennett LM, Gadlin H, Marchand C. 2018. Collaboration · Team Science · Field Guide. US Dept of Health & Human Services, NIH, National Cancer Institute.

### **<u>CITATIONS</u>**

- Brozek J, Keys A. 1944. General Aspects of Interdisciplinary Research in Experimental Human Biology. Science 100(2606):507-512
- Faupel-Badger JM, Vogel AL, Austin CP, Rutter JL. 2022. Advancing translational science education. Clin Transl Sci. 15(11):2555-2566
- Gilliland CT *et al.* 2019. The Fundamental Characteristics of a Translational Scientist. Pharmacol Transl Sci. 2(3):213-216
- Lotrecchiano GR, DiazGranados D, Sprecher J, McCormack WT, Ranwala D, Wooten K, Lackland D, Billings H, Brasier AR. 2020. Individual and team competencies in translational teams. J Clinical & Translational Science 5(1):e72
- Verderame MF, Freedman VH, Kozlowski LM, McCormack WT. 2018. Competency-based assessment for the training of PhD students and early-career scientists. **eLife** 7:e34801
- Wuchty S, Jones BF, Uzzi B. 2007. The increasing dominance of teams in production of knowledge. Science 316(5827):1036-1039

|                     | ON-4 |
|---------------------|------|
| FIELD GUII          | DE   |
| Christophe Marchand |      |

# **Final Thoughts About Team Science**

- Teams are made of people
  - Teams are intrinsically dysfunctional
  - Cross-disciplinarity both strengthens and threatens teams
- Team science is an art & a science
  - Can be learned and must be practiced

![](_page_40_Picture_6.jpeg)

- Reframe collaboration as a process of teamwork to be mastered
  - By understanding the <u>teamwork activities</u> necessary for success, we can achieve more successful collaborations

Introduction to the Science of Team Science