



## **Clinical Guidance on the Use of Joint Infection Panel**

In 2022, the Clinical Microbiology Laboratory at Nebraska Medicine began utilizing an FDA approved test called the BioFire<sup>®</sup> Joint Infection Panel. This test uses a PCR-based approach to amplify DNA targets directly from synovial fluid cultures allowing rapid identification of pathogens and earlier transition to most appropriate therapy. This test identifies 29 different gram-positive, gram-negative, and yeast pathogens (**Table 1**). It also detects 8 genes associated with antimicrobial resistance, including those responsible for methicillin resistance in staphylococci, vancomycin resistance in enterococci, carbapenem resistance in gram-negative bacteria, and the most common gene encoding an extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase. Additionally, the Joint Infection Panel detects some pathogens as a complex (*E. cloacae* complex), group (*K. Pneumoniae* group), or genus (*Proteus* spp, *Salmonella* spp).

### Table 1: List of Pathogens and Resistance Genes Detected

| Gram-Positive Bacteria   | Gram-Negative Bacteria  | Yeast                             | <b>Resistance Genes</b>  |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Anaerococcus prevotti/vaginalis<br>Clostridium perfringens<br>Cutibacterium avidum/granulosum<br>Enterococcus faecalis<br>Enterococcus faecium<br>Finegoldia magna<br>Parvimonas micra<br>Peptoniphilus<br>Peptostreptococcus anaerobius<br>Staphylococcus aureus<br>Staphylococcus lugdunesis<br>Streptococcus genus<br>Streptococcus genus<br>Streptococcus agalactiae<br>Streptococcus pneumoniae<br>Streptococcus pyogenes | Bacteroides fragilis<br>Citrobacter<br>Enterobacter cloacae complex<br>Escherichia coli<br>Haemophilus influenzae<br>Kingella kingae<br>Klebsiella aerogenes<br>Klebsiella pneumoniae group<br>Morganella morganii<br>Neisseria gonorrhoeae<br>Proteus genus<br>Pseudomonas aeruginosa<br>Salmonella genus<br>Serratia marcescens | Candida genus<br>Candida albicans | Carbapenemases<br>IMP<br>KPC<br>NDM<br>Oxa-48-like<br>VIM<br>ESBL<br>CTX-M<br>Methicillin Resistance<br>mecA/C and MREJ<br>Vancomycin Resistance<br>vanA/B |

### **Criteria for Joint Infection Panel Use**

The BioFire Joint Infection Panel should be considered as part of synovial fluid testing in patients with suspected native joint septic arthritis or prosthetic joint infection. Patients who either have evidence of native joint septic arthritis on synovial fluid analysis or who have clinically suspected prosthetic joint infection should receive Orthopedic and Infectious Disease consultations.

<u>Diagnosis</u>: Septic arthritis of a native joint can lead to rapid joint destruction and loss of function. Prompt diagnosis and treatment are essential to reducing these long-term sequelae. Patients with native joint septic arthritis typically present with pain limiting weightbearing and/or range of motion, accompanied by local inflammation (e.g. swelling, erythema, warmth) of the infected joint. Fever is often absent. Septic arthritis cannot be readily distinguished from other inflammatory arthropathies (e.g. gout) by history and examination alone, and infection and exacerbation of other inflammatory arthropathies can occur together.

<u>Testing</u>: When septic arthritis is suspected the following blood tests are indicated: CBC with differential, ESR, CRP, procalcitonin, and blood cultures. Blood cultures should be obtained before antibiotics are started as they are

frequently positive. Plain radiographs of the joint can also be obtained if the presence of a foreign body is suspected or there is a concern for fracture.

<u>Synovial Fluid Testing and Interpretation</u>: While suggestive, blood tests are usually inadequate to rule in or rule out infection in a patient with acute monoarthritis. Therefore, when septic arthritis is suspected, arthrocentesis of the potentially infected joint should be performed to establish the diagnosis. Obtain cell count and differential, Gram stain plus bacterial culture, and crystal identification along with BioFire Joint Infection Panel.

Fungal and mycobacterial cultures may be indicated if the patient has a major immunocompromising condition (e.g. hematologic malignancy or organ transplantation), if the time course of infection was unusually indolent (e.g. symptoms arising over weeks to months), or if the patient has a known history of systemic AFB or fungal infection.

For native joint septic arthritis, synovial WBCs are usually >25,000 cells/ $\mu$ L and have an elevated neutrophil percentage; the synovial WBC count is more specific for infection at higher levels. Synovial fluid culture will yield a result 80% to 90% of the time if there has been no pre-treatment with antibiotics.

<u>Biofire Joint Infection Panel</u>: The BioFire Joint Infection panel is a rapid, PCR based molecular test to diagnose the causative agent of septic arthritis. This has been found to have an overall sensitivity of 91.7% and a specificity of 99.8% compared with standard cultures. It requires 0.2 mL of synovial fluid to run, takes about 2 hours, and should be included in tests ordered on synovial fluid aspirate of suspected native joint septic arthritis. For patients with suspected infection of a prosthetic joint, the Joint Infection Panel should be ordered in consultation with the Orthopedic surgery and Infectious Diseases team as it does not include several important pathogens causing these infections and a negative result should be interpreted in the context of operative cultures.

# Orthopedic surgery and Infectious Diseases consults are recommended to guide interpretation of the Biofire Joint Panel results and management decisions when native joint septic arthritis or prosthetic joint infection is suspected.

<u>Empiric Treatment</u>: Patients presenting with evidence of sepsis or clinical instability from an infected joint should begin therapy with vancomycin and ceftriaxone. Patients without signs of clinical instability should have antibiotic therapy withheld until joint analysis data (cell count, gram stain, joint panel, etc.) returns. Therapy should be tailored to Gram stain and panel results as below.

### **Table 2: Joint Infection Panel Results and Recommended Therapy**

Use this table to assist with selecting the most appropriate empiric therapy for treating a joint infection. Results from this PCR test should be utilized with respect to the patient's clinical syndrome. Cultures should be monitored for pathogens which may not be detected by the panel and therapy adjusted if needed. Patients with joint infections benefit from Infectious Diseases consultation as prolonged courses of antibiotics are typically required. Pathogens detected by the panel many not be found in subsequent culture and in these cases ID consultation can assist in determining if continued treatment is appropriate. Patients who have responded clinically to a narrow spectrum agent do not need to be escalated and should continue their current therapy, even if this guideline recommends a broader spectrum agent. Patients who have not clinically responded to initial therapy (persistent fever, lack of improvement, etc.) may have their therapy adjusted to a more active regimen based on the guideline. Allergies, organ dysfunction, and risk factors for or personal history of antimicrobial resistance should be considered when choosing therapy. Data on susceptibility for pathogens was derived from the 2021 institutional antibiograms where available.

| Joint Panel Result                     | Preferred Therapy   | Comments   |
|--|---|--|
|  | Gram Positive Pathogens   |  |
| Anaerococcus prevotti/vaginalis        | Penicillin 3 million units IV q4h OR<br>Ampicillin 2 g IV q4h   | PCN highly active                                    |
|  |   | Alternatives: Ceftriaxone,                           |
|  |   | metronidazole, or vancomycin                         |
| Clostridium perfringens                | Penicillin 3 million units IV q4h OR<br>Ampicillin 2 g IV q4h   | PCN highly active                                    |
|  |   | Alternatives: Ceftriaxone,                           |
|  |   | metronidazole, or vancomycin                         |
| Cutibacterium avidum/granulosum        | Penicillin 3 million units IV q4h OR<br>Ceftriaxone 2 g IV q24h | PCN highly active, can be resistant to metronidazole |
|  |   | Alternatives: Vancomycin                             |
| Enterococcus faecalis                  |   | Ampicillin 100% susceptible at NM in                 |
| Van A/B negative                       | Ampicillin 2 g IV q4h   | this species, even when VRE                          |
| Van A/B positive = VRE (uncommon       | Ampicillin 2 g IV q4h   | PCN allergy: Vancomycin; linezolid or                |
| in this species)                       |   | daptomycin if VRE                                    |
| Enterococcus faecium                   |   |  |
| Van A/B negative                       | Vancomycin  | Vancomycin 100% susceptible in Van                   |
|  |   | A/B negative isolates                                |
| <i>Van A/B</i> positive = VRE (common) | Linezolid 600 mg q12h   | Linezolid 100% susceptible at NM                     |
|  |   | Daptomycin is an alternative but                     |
|  |   | confirmatory testing may be needed                   |
| Finegoldia magna                       | Penicillin 3 million units IV q4h OR<br>Ampicillin 2 g IV q4h   | PCN highly active                                    |
|  |   | Alternatives: Metronidazole,                         |
|  |   | ceftriaxone, or vancomycin                           |
| Parvimonas micra                       | Penicillin 3 million units IV q4h OR<br>Ampicillin 2 g IV q4h   | PCN highly active                                    |
|  |   | Alternative: Metronidazole (some                     |
|  |   | resistance exists), or ertapenem                     |
| Peptoniphilus                          | Penicillin 3 million units IV q4h OR<br>Ampicillin 2 g IV q4h   | PCN highly active                                    |
|  |   | Alternatives: Ceftriaxone,                           |
|  |   | metronidazole, or vancomycin                         |
| Peptostreptococcus anaerobius          | Penicillin 3 million units IV q4h OR<br>Ampicillin 2 g IV q4h   | PCN highly active                                    |
|  |   | Alternatives: Ceftriaxone,                           |
|  |   | metronidazole, or vancomycin                         |

| Staphylococcus aureus  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| mecA and MREJ negative = MSSA  | Cefazolin 2 g IV q8h   | Oxacillin 2 g q4h is an alternative  |
| mecA and MREJ positive = MRSA  | Vancomycin   | Alternative: Daptomycin, linezolid, ceftaroline <sup>NF</sup>  |
|  |  | Recommend ID consult   |
| Staphylococcus lugdunensis   |  |  |
| <i>mecA</i> negative = oxacillin<br>susceptible  | Cefazolin 2 g IV q8h   | Oxacillin 2 g q24h is an alternative   |
| <b>A</b>   | Vancomycin   | Alternative: Daptomycin, linezolid, ceftaroline <sup>NF</sup>  |
| <i>mecA</i> positive = oxacillin resistant   |  | Recommend ID consult   |
| Streptococcus genus, <u>alone</u> without any<br>other Strep species detected (non-S.<br>agalactiae, S. pyogenes or S. pneumoniae) | Ceftriaxone 2 g IV q24h  | Severe beta-lactam allergy:<br>vancomycin or levofloxacin  |
| Streptococcus pyogenes (Group A Strep) or<br>Streptococcus agalactiae (Group B Strep)  | Penicillin 3 million units IV q4h OR<br>Ampicillin 2 g IV q4h OR<br>Cefazolin 2 g IV q8h   | These beta-hemolytic Strep are<br>routinely susceptible to penicillins<br>and cephalosporins   |
|  |  | Alternatives: Ceftriaxone or<br>levofloxacin   |
| Streptococcus pneumoniae   | Penicillin 3 million units IV q4h OR<br>Ampicillin 2 g IV q4h                              | PCN highly active against<br>pneumococcus locally  |
|  |  | Alternatives: Ceftriaxone or<br>levofloxacin   |
|  | Gram Negative Pathogens  |  |
| Bacteroides fragilis   | Metronidazole 500 mg q8h   | Alternatives: Ampicillin/sulbactam, piperacillin/tazobactam  |
| Citrobacter  | CTX-M Negative: Cefepime 1 g IV q6h  | <i>C. freundii</i> is more common overall and can produce ampC beta-   |
| <i>CTX-M</i> + = Possible Extended-Spectrum Beta-<br>Lactamase (ESBL) present  | CTX-M Positive or Nosocomial-onset:<br>Ertapenem 1 g IV q24h OR Meropenem<br>500 mg IV q6h | lactamase, conferring ceftriaxone resistance, while <i>C.koseri</i> does not   |
| KPC, IMP, VIM, NDM, OXA-48-like + =<br>Carbapenemase detected  | Carbapenemase Positive: Consult ID   | <i>C. freundii</i> – cefepime (94%),<br>levofloxacin (90%)<br><i>C. koseri</i> – ceftriaxone (100%),<br>amoxicillin/clavulanate (100%) |
| Enterobacter cloacae complex   | CTX-M Negative: Cefepime 1 g IV q6h  | Alternative/oral step-down:<br>Levofloxacin (98%)  |
| <i>CTX-M</i> + = Possible Extended-Spectrum Beta-<br>Lactamase (ESBL) present  | CTX-M Positive or Nosocomial-onset:<br>Meropenem 500 mg IV q6h                             |  |
| KPC, IMP, VIM, NDM, OXA-48-like + =<br>Carbapenemase detected  | Carbapenemase Positive: Consult ID   |  |
| Escherichia coli   | CTX-M Negative: Ceftriaxone 2 g IV q24h  | Alternative/oral step-down: No oral  |
| <i>CTX-M</i> + = Possible Extended-Spectrum Beta-<br>Lactamase (ESBL) present  | CTX-M Positive or Nosocomial-onset:<br>Ertapenem 1 g IV q24h OR Meropenem<br>500 mg IV q6h | therapy is reliably active in our area.<br>Use susceptibility data to determine<br>oral therapy.                                       |
| KPC, IMP, VIM, NDM, OXA-48-like + =<br>Carbapenemase detected  | Carbapenemase Positive: Consult ID   |  |

| Haemophilus influenzae  | Ampicillin/sulbactam 3 g IV q6h OR  | Alternative: Levofloxacin  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
|   | Ceftriaxone 2 g IV q24h   | Oral step-down: Amoxicillin-   |  |
|   |   | clavulanate  |  |
| Kingella kingae   | Ampicillin/sulbactam 3 g IV q6h OR<br>Ceftriaxone 2 g IV q24h                                     | Alternative: Levofloxacin  |  |
|   |   | Oral step-down: Amoxicillin-<br>clavulanate  |  |
| Klebsiella aerogenes  | CTX-M Negative: Cefepime 1 g IV q6h   | Alternative/oral step-down:<br>Levofloxacin  |  |
| CTX-M + = Possible Extended-Spectrum Beta-<br>Lactamase (ESBL) present        | <u>CTX-M Positive:</u> Ertapenem 1 g IV q24h<br>OR Meropenem 500 mg IV q6h                        |  |  |
| KPC, IMP, VIM, NDM, OXA-48-like + =<br>Carbapenemase detected                 | Carbapenemase Positive: Consult ID  |  |  |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae group   | CTX-M Negative: Ceftriaxone 2 g IV q24h   | Alternative: Levofloxacin (92%)  |  |
| <i>CTX-M</i> + = Possible Extended-Spectrum Beta-<br>Lactamase (ESBL) present | <u>CTX-M Positive or Nosocomial-onset:</u><br>Ertapenem 1 g IV q24h OR Meropenem<br>500 mg IV q6h |  |  |
| KPC, IMP, VIM, NDM, OXA-48-like + =<br>Carbapenemase detected                 | Carbapenemase Positive: Consult ID  |  |  |
| Morganella morganii   | CTX-M Negative: Cefepime 1 g IV q6h   | Alternative/oral step-down:  |  |
| <i>CTX-M</i> + = Possible Extended-Spectrum Beta-<br>Lactamase (ESBL) present | CTX-M Positive or Nosocomial-onset:<br>Ertapenem 1 g IV q24h OR Meropenem<br>500 mg IV q6h        | Levofloxacin, ceftriaxone  |  |
| KPC, IMP, VIM, NDM, OXA-48-like + =<br>Carbapenemase detected                 | Carbapenemase Positive: Consult ID  |  |  |
| Neisseria gonorrhoeae   | Ceftriaxone 1-2 g IV q24h   | If chlamydial infection not excluded,<br>add doxycycline 100 mg PO BID x 7<br>days |  |
| Proteus spp.  | CTX-M Negative: Ceftriaxone 2 g IV q24h   | Oral step-down:<br>Amoxicillin/clavulanate (for CTX-M                              |  |
| CTX-M + = Possible Extended-Spectrum Beta-<br>Lactamase (ESBL) present        | <u>CTX-M Positive:</u> Ertapenem 1 g IV q24h<br>OR Meropenem 500 mg IV q6h                        | negative)  |  |
| KPC, IMP, VIM, NDM, OXA-48-like + =<br>Carbapenemase detected                 | Carbapenemase Positive: Consult ID  |  |  |
| Salmonella spp.   | CTX-M Negative: Ceftriaxone 2 g IV q24h   | Alternative: Levofloxacin (often<br>preferred if susceptible; consider ID          |  |
| CTX-M + = Possible Extended-Spectrum Beta-<br>Lactamase (ESBL) present        | <u>CTX-M Positive:</u> Ertapenem 1 g IV q24h<br>OR Meropenem 500 mg IV q6h                        | consult)   |  |
| KPC, IMP, VIM, NDM, OXA-48-like + =<br>Carbapenemase detected                 | Carbapenemase Positive: Consult ID  |  |  |
| Serratia marcescens   | CTX-M Negative: Cefepime 1 g IV q6h   | Alternative/oral Step-down:  |  |
| <i>CTX-M</i> + = Possible Extended-Spectrum Beta-<br>Lactamase (ESBL) present | <u>CTX-M Positive:</u> Ertapenem 1 g IV q24h<br>OR Meropenem 500 mg IV q6h                        | Levofloxacin (96%)   |  |
| KPC, IMP, VIM, NDM, OXA-48-like + =<br>Carbapenemase detected                 | Carbapenemase Positive: Consult ID  |  |  |

|   | Yeast Pathogens  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <i>Candida genus,</i> alone without a species detected (i.e. non- <i>C. albicans)</i> | Micafungin 100 mg q24h<br>Consult ID                                 | Alternatives: Fluconazole <sup>+</sup><br><sup>+</sup> C. glabrata: 91% susceptible dose-<br>dependent (12mg/kg/day)<br><sup>+</sup> C. parapsilosis: 93% susceptible<br><sup>+</sup> C. tropicalis: 96% susceptible  |
| Candida albicans  | Fluconazole 12 mg/kg load, 6 mg/kg<br>q24h OR Micafungin 100 mg q24h | 97% susceptible to fluconazole<br>Consider micafungin if previous azole<br>exposure   |
|   | Gram Negative Resistance Genes                                       |   |
| IMP, KPC, OXA-48-like, NDM, VIM   | Consult ID   | Markers for carbapenem-resistance<br>in gram negative pathogens (i.e.,<br>CRE)  |
| СТХ-М   | Ertapenem 1 g q24h OR<br>Meropenem 500 mg q6h                        | Marker for most common Extended-<br>Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL)<br>found in gram-negative pathogens<br>(esp. <i>E. coli</i> and <i>Klebsiella</i> spp.)ESBLs hydrolyze expanded spectrum<br>cephalosporins (ceftriaxone,<br>cefepime) and<br>piperacillin/tazobactamA negative result does not exclude<br>the presence of other ESBL enzymes<br>or other beta-lactamases |
|   | Gram Positive Resistance Genes                                       |   |
| mecA/C  | Vancomycin   | <i>mecA/C</i> is a marker for<br>methicillin/oxacillin-resistance in<br><i>Staphylococci</i> . Reported by itself in<br>non- <i>S</i> . <i>aureus</i> , (i.e., MRSE) <i>S</i> .<br><i>epidermidis</i> and <i>S</i> . <i>lugdunensis</i> .   |
| mecA/C and MREJ   | Vancomycin   | MREJ is only evaluated in <i>S. aureus</i><br>and when present with <i>mecA/C</i> is<br>specific for MRSA   |
| Van A/B   | Linezolid 600 mg q12h<br>Consult ID                                  | Marker for vancomycin-resistant<br>Enterococcus (i.e., VRE)   |

Document created by: Shawnalyn Sunagawa, PharmD, Tim McElroy, MD; November 2022

**Reviewed by:** Trevor Van Schooneveld, MD, Scott Bergman, PharmD, Bryan Alexander, PharmD, Molly Miller, PharmD, Jonathan Ryder, MD, Jasmine Marcelin, MD, Erica Stohs, MD, Angela Hewlett, MD, Nico Cortes-Penfield, MD, Paul Fey, PhD

#### **Selected References**

- 1. BioFire<sup>®</sup> Joint Infection Panel [product labeling: instructions for use]. bioMerieux. Salt Lake City, UT; updated June 2022.
- 2. Veloo ACM, Welling GW, Degener JE. Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Clinically Relevant Gram-Positive Anaerobic Cocci Collected over a Three-Year Period in the Netherlands. AAC. 2011;55(3):1199-1203.
- 3. Brook I. Anaerobic Infections Diagnosis and Management. Informa Healthcare USA, Inc. New York. 2007.
- 4. Corvec S. Clinical and Biological Features of Cutibacterium (Formerly Propionibacterium) avium, an Underrecognized Microorganism. Clin Micro Reviews. 2018;31(3):e00064-17.
- 5. Guerin F, Dejoies L, Degand N, et al. In Vitro Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profiles of Gram-Positive Anaerobic Cocci Responsible for Human Invasive Infections. Microorganisms. 2021;9(1665):1-9.
- Rams TE, Sautter JD, van Winkelhoff AJ. Antibiotic Resistance of Human Periodontal Pathogen Parvimonas micra Over 10 Years. Antibiotics. 2020;9(709):1-9.
- 7. Basmaci R, Bonacorsi S, Bidet P, et al. Genotyping, local prevalence and international dissemination of beta-lactamase producing Kingella kingae strains. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2014;20:811-817.
- Toda M, Williams SR, Berkow EL, et al. Population-Based Active Surveillance for Culture-Confirmed Candidemia Four Sites, United States, 2012-2016. MMWR Surveill Summ. 2019;68(8):1-20.