Vancomycin Pharmacokinetics, Dosing & Therapeutic Drug Monitoring

Inpatient Pharmacy Education Molly Miller, PharmD Resident Scott Bergman, PharmD, BCPS, BCIDP, FCCP, FIDSA Pharmacy Coordinator, Antimicrobial Stewardship



Objectives

List the mechanism of action, spectrum of activity, PK/PD, and adverse effects of vancomycin

Describe vancomycin-induced nephrotoxicity and it's risk factors

Explain vancomycin dosing and therapeutic monitoring strategies at Nebraska Medicine



Background

Reason for competency:

- Maximize clinical outcomes and minimize toxicity for patients receiving vancomycin
- Ensure NM pharmacists have the resources to successfully manage vancomycin dosing for patients



Abbreviations

IBW	Ideal body weight			
ABW	Actual body weight, also known at total body weight (TBW)			
DBW	Dosing body weight – this is a term used in Epic for the actual patient weight of when starting an oncology therapy plan. In should not be use as an adjusted body weight for dosing other drugs like antibiotics that have altered Vd in obesity			
AdjBW	Adjusted body weight – this is the value used for dosing many antibiotics in obese patients due to their limited distribution to adipose tissue. AdjBW= IBW + 0.4(ABW-IBW)			
Ке	Elimination rate constant			
Vd	Volume of distribution			
t _{1/2}	Half-life			
C _{min}	Trough serum level at steady-state			
AUC	Area under the concentration-time curve			
SCr	Serum creatinine			
MIC	Minimum inhibitory concentration			
AKI	Acute kidney injury			
⁴ HD	Hemodialysis			

Vancomycin: Mechanism of Action

- Blocks peptidoglycan polymerization in the bacterial cell wall by changing D-ala,D-ala to D-ala,D-lac
- Results in inhibition of cell wall synthesis

5

 Slowly bactericidal (takes 24 hours for a 3 log kill in lab bacteria that is observed in about 4 hours with oxacillin or daptomycin)



Mechanism of Action

Spectrum of activity:

- Only effective against infections due to gram-positive bacteria
- No activity against gram-negative or anaerobic bacteria systemically

Clinical uses:

- Treatment of infections caused by Staphylococci, Streptococci and Enterococci including bacteremia/sepsis, pneumonia and skin among others
 - Not for definitive therapy of most infections once patient is stable or susceptibilities known
 - Alternative to beta-lactam agents for patients with resistance or a severe allergy



Pharmacokinetics

Absorption:

- Negligible oral absorption
- High colonic concentrations when given orally for Clostridioides difficile infection

Distribution:

- Tissue penetration varies depending on disease state/inflammation
- Enhanced CSF penetration with inflamed meninges
 - Negligible without inflammation
- Volume of distribution approximately 0.7 L/kg

Elimination:

- IV administration primarily excreted by the kidneys
- Oral administration primarily excreted in the feces
- Half-life = ~6-7 hours (normal renal function), can be 12 hours in typical hospitalized patients



Adverse Effects

Infusion-related reaction: "Red-man syndrome"

- Non-immunological histamine reaction causing redness, flushing and itching
 - Not a true allergy, can still receive the med if needed
- <u>Slow down infusion to avoid</u>, can give diphenydramine
- Normally no faster than 1g/hr, but can double the duration of infusion to limit reaction
 - Standard vancomycin infusion rates at Nebraska Medicine
 - <1000 mg over 60 minutes, including all pediatric doses
 - 1001-1500 mg over 90 min
 - 1501-2000 mg over 120 min
 - 2001-2500 over 150 min (rarely dose this high)
 - > 2,500 mg over 180 min (very rarely needed)



Adverse Effects

Ototoxicity

- 2% incidence, higher in elderly (6%)
- May be associated with elevated serum concentrations, but no specific threshold identified
- Manifested by vestibular damage and/or cochlear damage leading to sensory hearing loss and tinnitis (reversible)

Neutropenia

• More common with prolonged use, but reversible

Steven's Johnson Syndrome

• Uncommon, yet possible. Do not re-challenge if suspected



Vancomycin Use & **Nephrotoxicity**

• Approved in 1958, named in reference to the word "Vanguish"



Stages of vancomycin purity from 1956 to 1981

- Impurities = "Mississippi Mud" \rightarrow toxicity?
- Now 90-95% pure
- From 2006-2012 \rightarrow vancomycin use increased by 32%
- Use currently as prevalent as 14.4% of all inpatients



2009 Vancomycin Guidelines

Vancomycin is a **concentration-independent** killer of gram-positive pathogens

• AUC/MIC is the most useful PK/PD parameter to predict efficacy

Trough serum vancomycin concentrations considered most practical method for monitoring

• Drawn at steady state, just before 4th dose

Trough concentration of 15-20 mg/L increases probability of obtaining optimal drug exposure

Trough of 15 mg/L easiest way to ensure AUC > 400 mg*hr/L



Rationale for trough-based dosing

- Trough of 15 mg/L = minimum AUC of 360
- AUC of 400 mg ~ C_{avg} 17 mg/L



Efficacy by Trough

	High tro	bugh	Low tro	ugh		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	CI M-H, Random, 95% CI
1.4.1 Trough thresho	ld of 15 m	g/L					
Arshad et al (14)	9	49	7	55	7.2%	1.54 [0.53, 4.51]	
Clemens et al (16)	18	68	5	26	6.9%	1.51 [0.50, 4.61]	<mark>−<u></u>+•−−−</mark>
Ghosh et al (18)	23	80	21	47	10.7%	0.50 [0.24, 1.06]	│ —
Jung et al (22)	6	16	14	60	6.4%	1.97 [0.61, 6.39]	
Kullar et al (8)	65	148	98	160	15.1%	0.50 [0.31, 0.78]	_ _ _
Lodise et al (23)	34	111	6	12	6.2%	0.44 [0.13, 1.47]	
Lodise et al (23)	28	93	12	30	9.4%	0.65 [0.27, 1.52]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		565		390	61.9%	0.75 [0.49, 1.16]	\bullet
Total events	183		163				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.14; Chi²	= 10.35	i, df = 6 (F	9 = 0.11); I² = 42%	5	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.29 (F	P = 0.20)				
1.4.2 MIC-based three	shold						
Lodise et al (23)	12	28	28	95	9.2%	1.79 [0.75, 4.28]	· +
Lodise et al (23)	11	41	29	82	9.7%	0.67 [0.29, 1.53]	·
Lodise et al (23)	13	30	27	93	9.5%	1.87 [0.80, 4.37]	i +
Lodise et al (23)	27	91	13	32	9.6%	0.62 [0.27, 1.42]	i —
Subtotal (95% CI)		190		302	38.1%	1.08 [0.59, 1.95]	★
Total events	63		97				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.18; Chi ² = 5.92, df = 3 (P = 0.12); l ² = 49%							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.24 (F	P = 0.81)	-			
Total (95% CI)		755		692	100.0%	0.87 [0.60, 1.25]	•
Total events	246		260				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.17: Chi ²	= 19.56	. df = 10 (P = 0.0	3); ² = 49	%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Test for overall effect	Z = 0.76 (F	P = 0.45	i)	. 0.0	-,,, 10		0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: Ch	$hi^2 = 0.9$	2 df = 1 (P = 0.3	4) $l^2 = 0\%$		Favors high trough Favors low trough
i oot for oubgroup diffe	0.1000.01	0.0	_, ui = i (0.0	.,,	,	

Higher vancomycin trough levels not associated with reduced risk of treatment failure (OR 0.87, 95% CI 0.60–1.25) Trough values not associated with reduced risk of persistent bacteremia;

No association between trough goals & mortality



Efficacy by AUC

	High AU	C/MIC	Low AU	C/MIC		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
2.8.2 AUC:MIC thresh	nold 300 –	399 h					
Ghosh et al (18)	18	77	27	50	11.9%	0.26 [0.12, 0.56]	_
Jung et al (22)	11	54	9	22	6.0%	0.37 [0.13, 1.09]	
Lodise et al (23)	17	73	23	50	11.6%	0.36 [0.16, 0.78]	_ _
Lodise et al (23)	21	85	19	38	10.8%	0.33 [0.15, 0.73]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		289		160	40.4%	0.32 [0.21, 0.48]	◆
Total events	67		78				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² =	= 0.43, d	f = 3 (P = 0	0.93); I²	= 0%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.37 (P	< 0.000	01)				
2.8.3 AUC:MIC thresh	nold 400 –	499 h					
Jung et al (22)	10	52	10	24	6.2%	0.33 [0.11, 0.97]	
Kullar et al (8)	107	221	61	99	30.0%	0.58 [0.36, 0.95]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		273		123	36.2%	0.53 [0.34, 0.82]	\bullet
Total events	117		71				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² =	= 0.89, d	f = 1 (P = 0	0.35); l²	= 0%		
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.82 (P = 0.005)							
2.9.4 ALIC:MIC thread	add 500	650 h					
2.0.4 AUC.IMIC thresh		000 11		50	44.00/	0 40 K0 40 0 001	
Lodise et al (23)	16	67	24	56	11.8%	0.42 [0.19, 0.90]	
Lodise et al (23) Subtotal (05% CI)	15	65 122	25	58	11.6%	0.40 [0.18, 0.86]	
Subiolal (95% CI)	04	132	40	114	23.470	0.41 [0.24, 0.70]	
l otal events	31	0.01	49	0.001-12	00/		
Heterogeneity: $1 au^2 = 0.00$; $Ch^2 = 0.01$, $dt = 1$ (P = 0.92); $l^2 = 0\%$							
l est for overall effect:	Z = 3.22 (P	= 0.001)				
Total (95% CI)		694		397	100.0%	0.41 [0.31, 0.53]	◆
Total events 215 198							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² =	= 4.04, d	f = 7 (P = 0	0.78); I ²	= 0%		
Test for overall effect:	Test for overall effect: $Z = 6.67$ (P < 0.00001)						
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: Ch	² = 2.71	, df = 2 (P	= 0.26),	l² = 26.2%	6	Favors high AUC.IMIC Favors low AUC.IMIC

Higher AUC:MIC had a significantly reduced risk of treatment failure (OR 0.41,95% CI 0.31–0.53, p<0.0001) Risk of mortality was significantly less in the high AUC:MIC cohort (OR 0.47, 95% CI 0.33–0.65)



Outcomes by AUC

AUC:MIC cutoffs from a sample of studies

- >345 mg*hr/L improved efficacy for pneumonia
 Clinical success 23% vs. 78% (Moise-Broder 2000)
- >398.5 mg*hr/L MRSA bacteremia

- Treatment failure 45% vs 25% (Jung 2014)

 <515 mg*hr/L had best composite outcome of no clinical failure or toxicity in prospective trial (Lodise 2019)



Vancomycin and Nephrotoxicity

Before 2009 Guidelines

 It was thought that higher troughs of 15-20 mg/L would improve efficacy by consistently achieving an AUC > 400 mg*hr/L without a subsequent increase in nephrotoxicity



Figure 1. Bar graph showing the relationship between the initial vancomycin trough value and the rate of nephrotoxicity for the 166 patients who met the inclusion criteria.



Initial Vancomycin Trough and Nephrotoxicity

After 2009 Guidelines

 As the number of patients with higher troughs increased, nephrotoxicity also increased significantly - but efficacy was not substantially improved



Figure 2. Relationship between the initial vancomycin trough value and the frequency of nephrotoxicity for 188 vancomycintreated patients (P = 0.001).



Nephrotoxicity

We now understand that high trough goals more than double the risk of acute kidney injury (AKI)

# nephrotoxicity / to		icity / total	Odds Ratio	Oddo rotio	Weight
Study	High trough	Low trough	1 1	(95 % CI)	(random)
Hidayat et al. 2006 Jeffres et al. 2007 Hermsen et al. 2010 Bosso et al. 2011 Choi et al 2011 Kullar et al. 2011 Kullar et al. 2012 Leu et al. 2012 Wunderink et al. 2012	11 / 63 27 / 49 5 / 16 41 / 142 2 / 19 10 / 77 18 / 100 10 / 45 26 / 118	0 / 32 13 / 45 4 / 39 14 / 146 3 / 37 23 / 141 15 / 100 5 / 31 24 / 215		 → 14.24 [0.81; 249.87] 3.02 [1.28; 7.11] 3.98 [0.91; 17.46] 3.83 [1.98; 7.40] 1.33 [0.20; 8.75] 0.77 [0.34; 1.71] 1.24 [0.59; 2.63] 1.49 [0.45; 4.87] 2.25 [1.22; 4.13] 	1.9% 12.2% 5.9% 15.5% 4.0% 13.0% 13.9% 8.2% 16.5%
Arhad et al 2012 Total, Nephrotoxicity, M	13 / 49 RSA 163 / 678	5 / 55 106/841		- 3.61 [1.18; 11.03]	8.9%
Heterogeneity $\chi^2 = 15.7$ Test for overall effect: p	78: p = 0.072, I ² = < 0.001	43%	0.2 0.5 1 2 5	20	100 %



Nephrotoxicity

- 5-7% incidence historically
 - <10% rate of AKI should still be our goal
- Reports of increased nephrotoxicity (20-30%) since targeting higher trough concentrations of 15-20 mg/L
- Related to acuity of illness, higher total daily dose, area under the curve and duration of therapy
 - Doses >4 g/d are a risk factor, likely due to high AUC
 - Onset typically occurs 4-17 days into therapy
- Up to 29-43% reported with concomitant nephrotoxins
 - Piperacillin-tazobactam now recognized as causing synergistic toxicity



Local vancomycin nephrotoxicity with piperacillin-tazobactram (VPT) 29% in 2017 vs. 13% with cefepime (VC) in 2018



V

5% of patients in this study grew MRSA

Glass JP, et al. Am College Clin Pharm. 2019

Nephrotoxicity Summary



Vancomycin trough values strongly correlated with nephrotoxicity Trough > 15 mg/L = ↑ risk of nephrotoxicity based on elevated AUC in many patients



AUC threshold for increased nephrotoxicity recently recognized as > 563 - 650 mg*hr/L



Incidence of toxicity increases as a function of combined risk factors Prolonged therapy duration, ICU status, concomitant nephrotoxins including piperacillin-tazobactam

Van Hal et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(2):734-744 Chavada et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2017;61(5) Aljefri et al. Clin Infect Dis. 2019; e-pub ahead of print



Relationship between vancomycin trough & AUC_{0-24h}



22

Implementation

AUC-based dosing

2-level method

Bayesian software



Real World Experience #1

Detroit Medical Center: 2-level method of AUC dosing

• C_{min} routinely 2-3 mg/dL lower than trough-guided dosing

Bayesian estimated vancomycin exposure profile subgroup analysis

Variable	Values for the following groups ^{<i>a</i>} :					
	Trough concn-guided dosing group (<i>n</i> =	AUC-guided dosing group (<i>n</i> =				
	150)	150)				
C _{min24} (mg/liter)	12.7 (8.9–16.6)	10.0 (5.7–13.4)	< 0.001			
Cmin48 (mg/liter)	14.2 (10.3–19.5)	12.5 (8.3–16.7)	0.003			
AUC ₀₋₂₄ (mg · h/liter)	705 (540–883)	474 (360–611)	< 0.001			
AUC ₂₄₋₄₈ (mg · h/liter)	663 (538–857)	532 (406–667)	< 0.001			

Finch et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2017 Nov 22;61(12).

Real World Experience

<u>Detroit Medical</u> <u>Center</u>

- Nephrotoxicity significantly less in AUCguided dosing group
- Patients had proven MRSA bloodstream infection where benefit > risk



Finch et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2017 Nov 22;61(12).

Real World Experience #2

<u>University of Southern</u> <u>California</u>

- Using Bayesian software limited the number of patients out of range in year 2 & 3
- The violin plots show distribution of AUCs
- Solid line is their goal of AUC 400 mg*hr/L
- Dotted lines are 300 for the lower limit of efficacy and 800 for the maximum tolerated



Real World Experience #2

Therapeutic outcomes of vancomycin therapy

<u>University of</u> Southern California

 Efficacy remained the same while toxicity was minimized after the switch to AUC-based dosing in year 2

	No. (%) of Subjects					
<u>Outcome</u>	<u>Yr 1 (n=75)</u>	<u>Yr 2 (n=88)</u>	<u>Yr 3 (n=89)</u>			
Resolved	59 (71)	60 (67)	66 (74)			
Relapsed	1 (1)	0	0			
Failure	0	0	0			
Death	0	0	0			
De-escalation	7(8)	5(6)	6(7)			
Not indicated	8(10)	9(10)	9(10)			
Transferred	6(7)	16(18)	9(10)			

Resolved: Marked improvement or disappearance of signs and symptoms (s/sx) of acute infection and cessation of vancomycin therapy;

Relapses: Return of s/sx of same infection within 72h of stopping vanco therapy;

Failure: Persistence of s/sx despite vancomycin for defined treatment for vanc-susceptible infection; Death: All-cause mortality within 72h of stopping vancomycin.

De-escalation: Step down occurred to narrower-spectrum IV antibiotics or to oral therapy Not indicated: Infections were from organisms defined as resistant to vancomycin (e.g. GNR) Transferred: Patient left to another facility while still receiving vanco so unable to assess outcome



Experience with Bayesianestimated AUC

<u>Australia</u>: MRSA bacteremia

- 10 out of these 117 patients had AKI
- 8 of those 10 had a high AUC
- A trough of 10-15 mg/L is appropriate for a majority of patients, but 15-20 can be safe when AUC is known



Vancomycin trough concentration (mg/L)



AUC and nephrotoxicity

<u>Australia</u>: MRSA bacteremia

- 20 patients out 117 overall had a high AUC
- 8 of those 20 had AKI = 40%
- Risk ratio 5.0 for AKI with an elevated AUC



Chavada et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2017; 61(5)

2020 Vancomycin Guideline

Trough-based dosing is no longer the standard of care

<u>AUC goal of **400-600** mg*hr/L</u> over 24 hours (AUC₂₄) should be used to optimize efficacy and reduce nephrotoxicity

Implement by calculating AUC with 2 levels after standard dosing <u>or</u> using Bayesian software



ASHP, IDSA, PIDS, and SIDP. Rybak et al. 2020

https://academic.oup.com/ajhp/article/77/11/835/5810200

Measuring AUC

Simplest method needs 2 levels to accurately assess clearance







Khan Academy. Accessed September 10, 2019. https://www.khanacademy.org. 31

Concentration

Trapezoidal Method (FYI)

• More accurate estimate of AUC, but calculation has many steps and still requires 2 levels





Trapezoidal Method, continued

- Levels should be from same dose at steady state
- Several hospitals have built these equations into spreadsheet calculators



 Clincalc.com/vancomycin or Vancopk.com can be used with 1 level



The AUC for a given dosing interval can be estimated by adding the 2 trapezoidal areas, area 1 (AUC_{inf}) and area 2 (AUC_{elim}). Multiplying this value by the number of doses per 24 hour period yields the AUC₂₄.

Note: the estimated AUC should be expected to change in proportion to dosing changes (e.g. a 50% increase in TDD will lead to ${\sim}50\%$ increase in AUC_{24}).

Meng L et al. Pharmacotherapy. 2019;39(4):433-442 Heil EL, et al. AJHP. 2018. 75(24):1986-1995



Bayesian Method

- Based on Bayes' Theorem, estimates patient's PK parameters using population database (Bayesian prior)
- Revises probability distribution using exact dosing and concentration data plus certain patient characteristics (Bayesian conditional posterior)
- Software calculates optimal dosing regimen based on specific patient's exposure profile
- Can use 1 level to adjust, even if not at steady state



Accuracy





Bayesian Method

Fewer blood samples per subject

Reduced nephrotoxicity

Similar efficacy

Potential cost savings



University of Southern California

Traditional Dosing

Weight-based dosing for comparison

- Adult: 15-20 mg/kg IV every 12 hours
 - Interval adjusted based on renal function
 - Q8h for patients under 40 years with CrCl > 100 mL/min
 - 10-15mg/kg q24h if CrCl 20-50 ml/min
 - Dose based on levels if <20 mL/min
 - Use adjusted body weight for obese
 - Assess benefit vs. risk if total dose > 4g/d
- Pediatric: 15-20 mg/kg IV every 6-8 hours

Using AUC-based dosing & Bayesian software will reduce the doses needed for most patients compared to targeting a trough 15-20 mg/L

 Some patients may require higher doses, but you can feel more confident in their safety when AUC₂₄ remains < 600 mg*hr/L



Is a Loading Dose Important?

Not necessarily

- Loading doses achieve the PK/PD goal faster, but have not been proven to improve outcomes
- In one study of MRSA bacteremia, initial doses
 >1750
 mg predicted protection from treatment failure
 - However, this was a post-hoc analysis and did not correlate with mg/kg
 - Keep in mind <1% of patients on vancomycin have MRSA bloodstream infections
- While higher total daily doses have been associated with nephrotoxicity, loading doses have not



Is a Loading Dose Important?

Guidelines continue to recommend a loading dose for patients who are critically ill

- 25-30 mg/kg IV x 1 dose, max of 3 g
- Actual body weight used out of convenience
- In obesity, volume is not linear with weight
 - 20-25 mg/kg is more reasonable
- Our software does not use loading doses in it's recommendations
 - They are not routinely needed, especially in floor patients
 - Remember it is the AUC that is important, not the peak or trough



Is a Loading Dose Important?

- If choosing to give a one-time dose to get started in ED or ICU, 20-25mg/kg is reasonable for most patients with suspected MRSA bloodstream infection/sepsis
 - This will result in <a>>1750 mg for most patients
- It has not been our practice to administer doses >2g
 - For doses over 2g, consider splitting up the load
 - For example, give 2g x1 and then start maintenance 6-12h later (after Scr known) rather than waiting 12-24h
- After the loading dose is administered, our software will incorporate that into it's calculations and you can change the start time to see how your maintenance regimen will perform



Relationship between vancomycin AUC nephrotoxicity and weight

Weight >101 kg is a risk factor for AKI Weight > 101 kg Weight < 101 kg Even with software, 1.00 Probability of Nephrotoxicity vancomycin is hard to dose in obese 0.80 patients Different models and 0.60 equations exist, but none are perfect 0.40 Aim for AUC closer to 400 mg*hr/L 0.20 Be cautious and monitor obese 0.00 patients more 500 1500 2000 3000 3500 4000 1000 2500 0 closely Vancomycin AUC_{0-24h} (mg*h/L) - twice weekly labs



•Check past doses by searching "My iVents" for patient

•Adipose tissue can create an extra compartment that releases drug, especially in the obese later in course

• Monitor levels closely in overweight patients

•Q8h regimens may be necessary in these and younger patients, but should be rare overall

• Check labs twice weekly, including a trough at least until stable

Troughs

- Typical range: 10-15 mg/L meets goal of AUC 400-600 mg*h/L
- Reasonable to think about drawing at steady state with 4th dose in patients expected to continue on therapy
 - May choose to draw earlier in select patients with abnormal features
- Can be drawn anytime prior to a dose being administered.
 - Timing will be less important with software readily available to interpret



- •Once comfortable with software dosing recommendations, not every patient on empiric vancomycin will need a level drawn
- •Evaluate whether therapy should be continued before ordering
- •For example, don't get level with 4th dose if cultures negative and vancomycin unlikely to benefit patient
 - Ask if vancomycin can be discontinued instead
- •For those with risk factors such as concomitant nephrotoxins think about assessing the serum concentration on day 2-3
- •For those continuing therapy, <u>draw at least 1 level each week</u> to monitor for accumulation and ensure stable renal function
 - Although renal function usually improves in the first few days of hospital admission following hydration, vancomycin levels almost always rise after the first week of therapy



Peaks, Not routinely recommended, but...

- A 2nd level at anytime can improve the fit of models
 - Useful for patients that have altered kinetics such as those in ICU with fluid shifts, cystic fibrosis, or morbidly obese
 - Two-compartment model might be a better fit for critically ill patients
- When drawn: at least 1 hour after end of infusion
- Typical range: 25-40 mg/L

Future direction: One "Random" level with AM labs can be sufficient monitoring for most standard patients on vancomycin when used with Bayesian software



- Bayesian software is a tool to help you decide a dose for your patient with more precise information
 - You still need to use your clinical judgement: computers do not replace sound reasoning skills
- Keep in mind the studies confirming efficacy of AUC have been done in patients with MRSA bacteremia and pneumonia
- It is reasonable to think the drug will work the same for other organisms and infections
 - By default, our AUC target is set to 450 mg*hr/L
 - For patients with less severe infections or tenuous renal function, 400 is sensible as a goal



Limitation to Implementation



Dosing software is not validated for patients with Scr > 3 mg/dL or on dialysis at this time

 Continue to dose based on current protocols



Hemodialysis

- Almost no vancomycin is cleared in between dialysis sessions in patients with ESRD on HD
- ~30-40% vancomycin removed with each standard HD session of 3-4 hours (~ 10%/hr)
- Dosing for HD patients:
 - Recommend using Nephrology's preferred strategy (also known locally as Plumb's protocol)
 - Load, and then provide maintenance dose after HD
 - Alternative for critically ill patients with fluctuating dialysis needs
 - Can check random level after dialysis ("peak"), but <u>needs to be</u> <u>drawn >4 hours post-HD</u> to allow time for fluid redistribution
 - When <15 mg/L, re-dose with 7 mg/kg



Nephrology Dosing Strategy

- Indicated for adult patients with end stage renal disease receiving chronic hemodialysis
- Pre-HD target concentrations: 15-20 mcg/mL
- Loading dose: 20 mg/kg IV once (min. 1 g, max. 2 g)
- Maintenance dosing: 750 mg with each dialysis
 - Administer after each HD session until dosage changed or discontinued
 - Can be administered during the last hour of dialysis (more common as outpatient), but expect additional drug removal
 - For obese patients, it is reasonable to start with 1g for a maintenance dose (based on 7-10mg/kg)



Dialysis Dosing Strategy

- Volume of distribution in dialysis patients is increased to approx. 1 L/kg
- Therefore Load of 20 mg/kg = initial serum concentration of ~ 20 mg/L
- Maintenance dose of 750mg (7-10mg/kg) TIW administered after each dialysis will replace what was removed (30-40%)
- Example:
 - Pre-HD level (AM lab): 18 mcg/mL (AUC over $24h \sim 400$)
 - Expected post-HD level: ~12 mcg/mL (10.8-12.6 mcg/mL)





30

25

20

Nephrology Strategy

Prior to the 2nd HD session post-load:

- Check pre-HD trough level (goal 15-20 mcg/mL)
- Continue maintenance dose based upon the level:

Pre-HD Concentration	Dialysis Dose
> 20 mcg/mL	500 mg
15-20 mcg/mL	750 mg
< 15 mcg/mL	Lesser of 15 mg/kg or 1000 mg

 Remember, studies show improved outcomes starting at AUC 345 mg*hr/L which is C_{avg} 14.5



Nephrology Strategy

Prior to the 3rd dose post-load HD session:

- Draw another pre-HD vancomycin trough if not at goal of 15-20 mcg/mL originally, or any part of care/ schedule is abnormal following initiation
- If level is within range, continue current dose and draw a weekly vancomycin level prior to dialysis
 - Mon-Thur levels preferred to avoid changes going into weekend, especially if continuing at discharge
- Following dose adjustment, a pre-HD vancomycin level should be checked prior to next 1-2 dialysis session(s) to ensure level is stable, then weekly



Dialysis Dosing Example

Goal AUC of 400 mg*hr/L = C_{avg} 17 mg/L x 24 hours and an AUC of 600/24 hr = C_{avg} 25 mg/L



V

What about the organism MIC?

Studies showing efficacy of AUC > 400 based on MIC \leq 1



>90% MRSA isolates have MIC $\leq 1 \text{ mg/L}$



Exact MIC values largely unknown until ~day 3 of treatment



Continue therapy based on clinical response



What if the MIC is 2?

Meta-analysis of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia studies from Kalil, et al (UNMC)

 No statistically significant difference in risk of death with high vancomycin MIC (≥1.5 mg/L) vs low vancomycin MIC (<1.5 mg/L)

Treat the patient not a number -Keep in mind the variability of MIC results

- Standard of error in lab is ±1 doubling dilution (0.5<-1->2 mg/L)
- There is also a difference between methods used in studies
- MicroScan (prompt method) overcalled MIC of 1 mg/L by 74.1% vs gold standard broth microdilution



Conclusions

Vancomycin AUC is linked to efficacy & safety

Troughs 15-20 mg/L can increase AKI risk

Bayesian Dosing = preferred strategy



Resources

- Nebraska Medicine Antimicrobial Stewardship Program Website – Pharmacokinetics
 - www.unmc.edu/intmed/divisions/id/asp/pharmacokinetics/index.html
 - Pager 888-0349
- ASHP/IDSA/PIDSA/SIDP Guidelines on Vancomycin Dosing and Monitoring
 - <u>https://www.idsociety.org/practice-guideline/vancomycin/</u>
 - American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy, Vol 77 (Issue 11): 1 June 2020; Pages 835-864
 - <u>https://academic.oup.com/ajhp/article/77/11/835/58</u> <u>10200</u>



Vancomycin Pharmacokinetics, Dosing & Therapeutic Drug Monitoring

Molly Miller, PharmD Resident Scott Bergman, PharmD, BCPS, BCIDP, FCCP, FIDSA Pharmacy Coordinator, Antimicrobial Stewardship scbergman@nebraskamed.com

