Criteria for Formulary Consideration of Ceftolozane/tazobactam

Efficacy

Ceftolozane/tazobactam was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) on December 19, 2014 for the treatment of complicated intra-abdominal infection (cIAI), in combination with metronidazole, and for the treatment of complicated urinary tract infections (cUTI), including pyelonephritis. Two randomized, phase III trials provide support for these indications. A study for the treatment of nosocomial pneumonia is underway with expected completion in 2018.

Ceftolozane/tazobactam plus metronidazole was noninferior to meropenem for the treatment of cIAI for the primary endpoint of clinical cure rate at the test-of-cure visit (TOC) in the micobiological intent-to-treat (MITT) population. Eradication of *Eschericia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were comparable to meropenem. In the phase III trial comparing ceftolozane/tazobactam with levofloxacin for the treatment of cUTI, ceftolozane/tazobactam demonstrated statistical superiority. The primary endpoint in this study was noninferiority for composite cure which includes both microbiological eradication and clinical cure rate at the TOC visit.

Ceftolozane/tazobactam's spectrum of activity includes gram-negative bacteria such as *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*, including extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing strains. This novel cephalosporin also demonstrates potent activity against *P. aeruginosa*, including multidrug-resistant strains. Spectrum gaps do include *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC) and metallo-beta-lactamase producing bacteria. In addition, ceftolozane/tazobactam does not provide activity against gram-positive bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus* spp.

Safety

Clinical trials demonstrated that ceftolozane/tazobactam is well-tolerated with most adverse events (>90%) classified as mild in severity. Adverse events were not dose related, and no dose-limiting toxicities were identified. Adverse event rates in phase III clinical trials were not notably different than the comparator agents, meropenem and levofloxacin. The most common adverse events in phase III trials included nausea, diarrhea, headache, fever, insomnia, vomiting, and hypokalemia. Serious adverse events observed in clinical trials included *Clostridium difficile* infection. No deaths occurring during these trials were considered to be related to treatment with ceftolozane/tazobactam. The approved labeling contains warnings and precautions for decreased efficacy in patients with baseline creatinine clearance (CrCl) of 30 to ≤50 mL/min, hypersensitivity reactions, *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea (CDAD), and the development of drug-resistant bacteria.

Uniqueness

Increasing morbidity and mortality associated with antimicrobial-resistant gram-negative bacteria calls for the development of unique antimicrobials that are effective against resistant pathogens. Ceftolozane is a novel cephalosporin with antipseudomonal activity. It has been combined with a familiar beta-lactamase inhibitor for extended spectrum coverage of drug-resistant bacteria. Ceftolozane/tazobactam offers broad spectrum of activity against difficult-to-treat gram-negative bacteria such as ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* and drug-resistant *P. aeruginosa*. In addition, high levels of AmpC expression do not significantly affect ceftolozane activity as compared to agents like cefepime or piperacillin/tazobactam. Ceftolozane/tazobactam is well-tolerated and requires minimal monitoring.

Cost

Product	Cost per Vial	Dosage	Cost per Day
Zerbaxa™ 1.5 g single-dose vial NDC 67919-030-01	\$83	1.5 g IV every 8 hours	\$249

Recommendation

Add to inpatient formulary with use restricted to ID services.

Introduction¹⁻⁵

There are limited treatment options for infections due to multidrug-resistant gram-negative pathogens such as ESBLproducing *Enterobacteriaceae* and *P. aeruginosa*, including cUTIs and cIAIs.

Appropriate management of cIAIs involves source control by way of operative or percutaneous interventions. Antibiotic treatment mainly consists of carbapenems, piperacillin/tazobactam, third or fourth generation cephalosporins plus metronidazole, or aminoglycosides. Patients who receive inadequate empiric antibiotic treatment are at a higher risk of treatment failure, sepsis, increased costs, and death. Inappropriate antibiotic treatment is becoming a pressing issue with increasingly more drug-resistant isolates.

Complicated urinary-tract infections may affect the lower urinary tract or upper urinary tract (pyelonephritis). Urosepsis is associated with mortality of up to 40% among critically ill patients. Fluoroquinolones are the most commonly used antibiotic for cUTIs. Other agents include cephalosporins, aminoglycosides, and penicillins. Patients with infections caused by resistant organisms are more likely to be treated inappropriately, have longer hospitalizations, and suffer higher costs than patients infected with more susceptible bacteria.

Ceftolozane/tazobactam, a novel cephalosporin in combination with an established beta-lactamase inhibitor, is approved for the treatment of cIAIs and cUTIs caused by ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* species, drug-resistant *P. aeruginosa,* and some *Streptococcus* species. An ongoing study is evaluating the safety and effectiveness of ceftolozane/tazobactam for treatment of nosocomial pneumonia in addition to the above indications.

Pharmacokinetics6-8

Table 1. Pharma	Table 1. Pharmacokinetic Properties of Ceftolozane and Tazobactam at Steady State										
	C _{max} (mg/L)	AUC₀ _{-tau} * (mg∙h/L)	Protein Binding (%)	V _d (L)	T½ (h)	CL (L/h)	Excretion (%)				
Ceftolozane 1 g q8h	74.4	182	16-21	13.5	3.12	3.41-6.69	Urine, >95%				
Tazobactam 500 mg q8h	18	25	30	18.2	1.03		Urine, >80%				

 C_{max} : maximum observed concentration, AUC_{0-tau}: area under concentration curve over dosing interval, fAUC_{0-tau}: free area under concentration curve over dosing interval, V_d: volume of distribution, T_k: elimination half-life, CL: clearance

Pharmacodynamics9-14

Like other cephalosporins, time above MIC (T>MIC) for 40-50% of the dosing interval is the pharmacodynamic parameter that best predicts efficacy for ceftolozane and ceftolozane/tazobactam. In murine thigh infection models, ceftolozane/tazobactam has anticipated %*f*T>MIC of \geq 37.5% resulting in 1-3 log reductions in bacterial density for non-ESBL-producing organisms with MICs \leq 16 mg/L. Among ESBL-producing isolates, ceftolozane/tazobactam showed increased efficacy and a significant decrease in bacterial density of 1.2-1.5-log units over 24 hours compared to piperacillin/tazobactam.

In another infection murine thigh model for ceftolozane/tazobactam against Enterobacteriaceae and *P. aeruginosa*, the T>MIC detected was much less compared to that for other cephalosporins. Mean T>MIC for stasis and 1-log kill was 26% and 32% for non-ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae, 31% and 35% for ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae, and 25% and 32% for *P. aeruginosa*.

Monte Carlo simulations have demonstrated high probability of target attainment using 40-50% T>MIC with current dosing and susceptibility breakpoints. A 1.5 g dose of ceftolozane/tazobactam given every 8 hours achieved 50% T>MIC for an MIC of 8 mg/L in 90% of subjects (Table 2). In Monte Carlo simulations in subjects with varying renal function, a 50% dose reduction was suggested to achieve the desired target attainment of 40% T>MIC (Table 3). In a simulated ventilator-associated pneumonia population, a dose of ceftolozane 2 g/tazobactam 1 g every 8 hours achieved target attainment of 40% T>MIC in plasma and epithelial lining fluid in >90% of simulations for gram-negative pathogens including *P. aeruginosa* (MIC range 0.12 to >32 mg/L), *E. coli* (MIC range \leq 0.06 to 4 mg/L), and *K. pneumoniae* (MIC range 0.12 to >32 mg/L).

Table 2. P	Table 2. Probability of Target For Three Dosing Regimens Using Monte Carlo Simulation										
MIC	1500 mg ever	y 8 hours (60 m	inute infusion)	1500 mg ev	ery 8 hours (3 h	our infusion)	3000 mg ever	y 8 hours (60 m	inute infusion)		
(mg/L)	30% T>MIC	40% T>MIC	50% T>MIC	30% T>MIC	40% T>MIC	50% T>MIC	30% T>MIC	40% T>MIC	50% T>MIC		
0.5	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		
1	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		
2	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		
4	100	100	99.7	100	100	100	100	100	100		
8	100	98.2	89.8	100	100	99.4	100	100	99.4		
6	96.1	74.9	44.9	97.9	91	70.3	100	98.8	90.7		

Table 5. Trobability of Targe	Attainment Based on Monte O	arlo Simulation in Patients with	alying Kenal Luneuon

Ceftolozane/tazobactam Dose	MIC (mg/L)	Renal Function	Probability of Target Attainment for 40% T>MIC
1000 mg/500 mg every 8 hours	8	Normal	87.6%
1000 mg/500 mg every 8 hours	8	Mild impairment	100%
500 mg/250 mg every 8 hours	8	Moderate impairment	100%

The PBP profile of ceftolozane has been compared to ceftazidime, a PBP3 inhibitor, and imipenem, a PBP2 inhibitor. Ceftolozane showed greater than 2-fold higher potency for PBPs 1b, 1c, 2, and 3 compared to ceftazidime. Compared to imipenem, it showed higher affinity for PBP1b but lower affinity for PBP1c. Ceftolozane alone has low affinity for PBP4 and demonstrates weak induction of AmpC expression.

Pharmacology and Microbiology²⁻⁵

Ceftolozane is a cephalosporin antibiotic. It has bactericidal action due to inhibition of cell wall biosynthesis and is mediated through binding to penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs). Ceftolozane inhibits PBPs of *P. aeruginosa* (PBP1b, PBP1c, PBP3) and *E. coli* (PBP3). Tazobactam is an irreversible inhibitor of certain penicillinases and cephalosporinases and can bind covalently to some chromosomal and plasmid-mediated beta-lactamases. Tazobactam has little clinically relevant in vitro activity against bacteria due to reduced affinity for PBPs. Susceptibility interpretative criteria, *in vitro* activity against specific bacteria, and *in vitro* activity against specific β-lactamases are highlighted in Tables 4-6, respectively.

Table 4. Susceptibility Interpretive Criteria for Ceftolozane/Tazobactam									
Pathogen	Minimum	Inhibitory Concentrat	tion (mg/L)	Disk D	iffusion Zone Diamet	er (mm)			
-	S	Ι	R	S	1	R			
Enterobacteriaceae	≤2/4	4/4	≥8/4						
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	≤4/4	8/4	≥16/4						
Streptococcus anginosus	≤8/4	16/4	≥32/4						
Streptococcus constellatus									
Streptococcus salivarius									
Bacteroides fragilis	≤8/4	16/4	≥32/4						

Table 5. In Vitro Activity of Ceftolozane/Tazobactam Agair		Ceftolozane/tazobactam		
Organism		Iozane MIC Range	MIC ₅₀ /MIC ₉₀	MIC Range
Gram-negative aerobes		who Kange	WIC50/WIC90	wite Kange
Acinetobacter spp.	8/>32	≤0.12-≥32	8/>32	≤0.12-≥32
Acinetobacter baumannii	NA	NA	0.5/2	≤0.12-16
Burkholderia cepacia	4/32	≤0.25->256	NA	12 10
Citrobacter spp.	0.5/16	≤0.12-≥32	0.25/8	≤0.12-≥32
Ceftazidime-resistant	32/>32	1->32	16/>16	0.25->16
Enterobacter spp.	0.5/16	NA	0.25/8	≤0.12-≥32
Ceftazidime-resistant/non-susceptible	>32/>32	4->32	0.25/8	≤0.03-≥32
Enterobacter cloacae	0.25/32	≤0.12-≥32	0.25/8	≤0.12-≥32
Escherichia coli	0.12/0.5	0.12/>64	0.12/0.5	≤0.12->32
Ceftazidime-resistant	>32/>32	1->32	1/16	≤0.12->32
ESBL-producing	64/>64	0.25->64	0.5/4	≤0.12->16
Haemophilus influenzae	0.12/0.25	≤0.12-1	≤0.12/0.25	≤0.12-1
Klebsiella spp.	0.25/>32	NA	0.25/4	0.12->32
ESBL-producing	>32/>32	NA	2/>32	0.12->32
Klebsiella oxytoca		NA	≤0.12/0.5	≤0.12-2
Klebsiella pneumoniae	0.25/16	≤0.12->64	0.25/8	≤0.12-2
Ceftazidime-resistant	>32/>32	4->32	4/>16	≤0.12-≥32 ≤0.12->16
ESBL-producing	32/>64	2->64	0.5/64	≤0.12->64
KPC-producing	>32/>04	32->32	>16/>16	16->16
Proteus spp., indole-positive	NA	NA	0.25/1	0.12-≥32
Ceftazidime-resistant	>32/>32	4->32	2/>16	0.25->16
Proteus mirabilis	0.25/0.5	≤0.12-16	0.25/0.5	≤0.12-16
ESBL-producing	8/>32	≤0.12-10	1/8	0.25->16
Serratia spp.	0.5/1	NA	0.5/1	0.23->10
Serratia spp. Serratia marcescens	0.5/1	0.25-≥32	0.5/1	≤0.12-≥32
Senatia marcescens Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	NA	0.25-252 NA	16/>64	0.5->64
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	0.5/2	≤0.12-≥128	0.5/2	≤0.12->128
Amikacin-resistant	1/32	≤0.12-2120	2/NA	≤0.25->16
Attreonam-resistant/non-susceptible	1/32	≤0.12->32	NA	NA
Cefepime-resistant/non-susceptible	1/4	≤0.12-≥128	4/NA	2-≥16
Ceftazidime-resistant/non-susceptible	2/16	≤0.12-≥128	4/16	0.25->64
Ciprofloxacin-resistant	1/4	0.12-≥128	1/4	≤0.25->16
Gentamicin-resistant	1/4	≤0.12-≥128	1/4	≤0.25->16
Imipenem-resistant/non-susceptible	1/4	≤0.12-≥128	1/4	0.25->64
Levofloxacin-resistant/non-susceptible	1/4	0.25->32	NA	NA
Meropenem-resistant/non-susceptible	1/4	≤0.12-≥128	1/8	0.25->32
Piperacillin/tazobactam-resistant/non-susceptible	2/4	≤0.12-≥128	2/4	0.23->32
Tobramycin-resistant	2/4	≤0.12-≥128	2/4	0.5->64
Ceftazidime and imipenem non-susceptible	4/16	0.5/>128	2/64	0.5->64
Ceftazidime and meropenem non-susceptible	NA	NA	4/≥32	1-≥32
Multidrug-resistant	2/16	0.12-≥128	1/2	0.5->64
Gram-positive aerobes	2/10	0.12-2120	1/2	0.0-204
Enterococcus faecalis	64/>64	NA	NA	NA
Enterococcus faecium	64/>64	NA	NA	NA
Staphylococcus aureus	32/32	16-64	32/64	4-128
Steptococcus agalactiae	0.5/0.5	≤0.12-0.25	0.5/0.5	≤0.12-0.5
Streptococcus agaiacitae	0.5/0.5 NA	S0.12-0.25	1/2	≤0.03-4
Streptococcus anginosus Streptococcusconstellatus	NA	NA	0.5/2	≤0.03-4
ou opioooolasoonisienalas	≤0.12/4	≤0.12-16	0.5/2	-0.03-4

Streptococcus pyogenes	≤0.12/≤0.12	≤0.12-0.25	≤0.12/≤0.12	≤0.12-2
Streptococcus salivarius	NA	NA	1/2	0.12-4
Gram-negative anaerobes		•		
Bacteroides caccae	64/>256	≤0.12-≥256	0.25/16	0.25-16
Bacteroides fragilis	>32/>32	≤0.12-≥256	1/4	0.25-256
Bacteroides ovatus	>256/>256	1->256	4/32	0.25->256
Bacteroides thetaiotamicron	>256/>256	0.25->256	4/32	0.25->128
Bacteroides uniformis	64/≥256	NA	2/16	NA
Bacteroides vulgatus	128/>256	0.25->256	4/32	0.25->256
Other Bacteroides spp.	8/>256	0.25->256	0.25/8	<0.12-128
Fusobacterium spp.	≤0.12/16	≤0.12-16	≤0.12/0.25	≤0.12-≥256
Parabacteroides distasonis	>256/>256	8->256	16/32	≤0.12-16
Prevotella spp.	16/≥256	≤0.12-≥256	≤0.12/1	≤0.12-4
Gram-positive anaerobes		•		
Anaerobic gram-positive cocci	4/16	≤0.12->256	2/8	≤0.12-64
Clostridium spp.	>256/>256	0.5->256	16/>256	≤0.12->256
Clostridium difficile	>256/>256	32->256	>256/>256	0.25->256
Clostridium perfringens	1/64	0.5-64	0.25/32	≤0.12-32
Propionibacterium spp.	0.5/NA	≤0.12-16	≤0.12/NA	≤0.12

Organism	zobactam Against Select β-La B-Lactamase	Ceftolozane MIC	Ceftolozane/tazobactam MIC
scherichia coli	p-Lauldillase		
	CTX-M-2	8-32	<0.25-4
Extended-spectrum β -lactamases	CTX-M-3	4-26	0.25
	CTX-M-3	<0.25->64	<0.25
	CTX-M-15	2->64	<0.25-64
	CTX-M-18	16	NA
	OXA-1	0.25-0.5	0.25
	OXA-2	0.25-4	0.25
	OXA-3	0.5	0.5
	OXA-4	0.25	0.25
	OXA-5	32	0.5
	OXA-7	2	1
	SHV-1	0.25-0.5	0.5
	SHV-2	4-32	2
	SHV-3	32	NA
	SHV-4	16-64	16
	SHV-5	2-64	<0.25-2
	SHV-12	2-16	<0.25-4
	TEM-1	0.12-0.25	0.25
	TEM-2	0.12-0.5	0.06
	TEM-3	0.5-1	0.25
	TEM-4	2	NA
	TEM-5	32	NA
	TEM-6	32-64	0.5
	TEM-7	32	NA
	TEM-8	16	NA
	TEM-9	32->128	8
	TEM-10	16-64	1-16
Carbapenemases	NMC-A	0.25	0.12
•	PER-1	>128	16
Metallo-B-lactamases	IMP-1	32->128	32
ebsiella pneumoniae	1 J		1
Extended-spectrum β -lactamases	CTX-M-2	8	<0.25
	CTX-M-14	2-32	<0.25-1
	CTX-M-15	16->64	<0.25->64
	SHV-5	8->64	<0.25-64
	TEM-29	>64	32
	SHV-1, TEM-10	>64	8
	SHV-1, TEM-26	>64	16
AmpC β-lactamases	AmpC, CTX-M-3	32-64	1

FDA Approved Indications^{6,15}

The FDA approved ceftolozane/tazobactam on December 19, 2014 for the treatment of patients 18 years or older with the following infections caused by designated susceptible microorganisms:

- Complicated intra-abdominal infections, in combination with metronidazole, caused by *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Escherichia coli, Klebsiella oxytoca, Klebsiella pneumonia, Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Bacteroides fragilis, Streptococcus anginosus, Streptococcus constellatus, and Streptococcus salivarius.*
- Complicated urinary tract infections, including pyelonephritis, caused by *Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Proteus mirabilis,* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa.*

In order to reduce the development of drug resistant bacteria and maintain the effectiveness of ceftolozane/tazobactam, it should only be used to treat infections that are proven or strongly suspected to be caused by susceptible bacteria.

Clinical Trials^{16,17}

Study Design	Methods		Res	ults		Conclusions/Comment
Lucasti C et al, 2014	Inclusion Criteria:	Primary and Secondary Outcomes:				Author's Conclusion:
	 Age 18-90 years old 		Ceftolozane/tazobactam	Meropenem	% Difference	Ceftolozane/tazobactan
Trial Design:	 Evidence of cIAI requiring 		n (%)	n (%)	(95% CI)	combination with
 Phase II, multicenter, 	surgical intervention	mMITT population	n=61	n=25		metronidazole was well
prospective, randomized	Ũ	Clinical cure	51 (83.6)	24 (96.0)	-12.4 (-34.9 to 11.1)	tolerated and resulted in
(2:1), double-blind trial	Exclusion Criteria:	Clinical failure	6 (9.8)	1 (4.0)		clinical and microbiolog
Compared	 High risk of recurrent 	Indeterminate	4 (6.6)	0 (0.0)		success rates supportiv
ceftolozane/tazobactam ±	infections due to exogenous	ME population	n=53	n=24		further clinical trials
metronidazole and	contamination (cIAI	Clinical cure	47 (88.7)	23 (95.8)	-7.1 (-30.7 to 16.9)	
meropenem for treatment	managed by a staged repair	Clinical failure	6 (11.3)	1 (4.2)		Comments:
of cIAI	process)	Microbiologic success	48 (90.6)	23 (95.8)		Ceftolozane/tazobacta
		CE population	n=70	n=35		administered in
Use 2010 March 2011	 Systemic antibiotics used for > 24 hours in the 48 hours 	Clinical cure	64 (91.4)	33 (94.3)	-2.9 (-23.5 to 18.0)	combination with
June 2010-March 2011		Clinical failure	6 (8.6)	2 (5.7)	2.3 (20.5 to 10.0)	metronidazole at the
	period prior to the first dose		0 (0.0)	2 (0.1)		discretion of the prescr
nterventions:	of study drug (unless	Por Pothogon Microbio	logical Success at TOC (ME Deputation)		
Ceftolozan/tazobactam 1.5	treatment failure was	Fel-Fathogen Microbio			Maranan	physician
g IV every 8 hours ±	documented)		Ceftolozane/i (n=5		Meropenem (n=24)	Similar incidence and
metronidazole 500 mg IV	Hematocrit < 25%	Gram-negatives	(11=0	13)	(11-2-7)	distribution of baseline
every 8 hours for 4-14 days	 Platelets < 75,000/mm³ 	E. coli	34/38 (89.5)	18/19 (94.7)	infecting pathogens
 Meropenem 1 g IV every 8 	 Neutrophils < 1000/mm³ 	K. pneumoniae	8/8 (10		0	between groups
hours + placebo IV every 8	 Life-threatening disease or 	P. aeruginosa	4/4 (10		3/3 (100.0)	Small sample size
hours	immunocompromising	P. mirabilis	3/3 (10		0	 Not designed or power
 Treatment duration: 4-7 	illness	A. baumannii	1/1 (10		1/1 (100.0)	statistically compare
days		Other	4/5 (8		3/3 (100.0) efficacy betw	
	Statistical Analysis:	Gram-positives		, ,		Suggests that
Primary Outcome:	Inferential statistical	Streptococcus spp.	8/8 (10		4/4 (100.0)	ceftolozane/tazobatam
 Clinical response in the 	analyses not conducted	E. faecium	5/5 (10		2/2 (100.0)	effective for cIAIs
microbiological MITT	 Two-sided 95% CI 	E. faecalis	5/5 (10	0.0)	1/1 (100.0)	
(mMITT) and	calculated using the Copper-	G. morbillorum	1/1 (10	0.0)	1/1 (100.0)	
microbiologically evaluable	Pearson method for clinical	G. bergeri	0		1/1 (100.0)	
(ME) populations		Other	0		1/1 (100.0)	
	and microbiological	Anaerobes				
Secondary Outcomes:	response rate	Bacteroides (non-fragilis			4/4 (100.0)	
		B. fragilis	6/7 (8		1/1 (100.0)	41
Clinical response in the CE		F. nucleatum	1/1 (10		0	4
population at the TOC visit		P. buccae	1/2 (5		0	4
Clinical response in mMITT		B. adolescentis	1/1 (10		0	4
and ME populations by		E. lenta P. acnes	0		1/1 (100.0)	
patient subtype		P. acries	1/1 (10)0.0)	0	J [
 Overall microbiological success 		Adverse Events Occur	ring in ≥5% of Patients (M			
Clinical and microbiological			Ceftolozane/		Meropenem	
success per pathogen for			(n=8		(n=39)	4 1
the ME population		Nausea	5 (6		4 (10.3)	41
 Safety 		Vomiting	4 (4		3 (7.7)	41
Jalety		Diarrhea	4 (4	/	3 (7.7)	41
		Pyrexia	12 (1-		4 (10.3)	41
		Hypertension	4 (4		2 (5.1)	41
		Phlebitis	2 (2		2 (5.1)	41
		Hypomagnesemia	2 (2	/	2 (5.1)	41
		Wound dehiscence	0 (0		2 (5.1)	41
		Anemia	5 (6		1 (2.6)	41
		GGT increased	1 (1.		2 (5.1)	41
		ALT increased	0 (0.		3 (7.7)	41
		AST increased	0 (0.	.01	2 (5.1)	11

Study Design	Methods		Results Conclusions/Co				
Solomkin J et al, 2015	Inclusion Criteria:	eria: Primary and Secondary Outcomes:				Author's Conclusion:	
	 Age ≥18 years 		Ceftolozane/tazobactam	Meropenem + placebo	% Difference	Treatment with	
Trial Design:	Clinical evidence of cIAI		+ metronidazole	n (%)	(95% CI)	ceftolozane/tazobacam	
 Two identical phase III 	Operative/percutaneous		n (%)			metronidazole was	
multicenter, prospective,	drainage of infectious focus	MITT population	n=389	n=417		noninferior to meropener	
randomized (1:1), double-	planned or performed within	Cure	323 (83.0)	364 (87.3)	-4.2 (-8.9 to 5.4)	adult patients with cIAI,	
blind, non-inferiority trials	24 hours confirming	Failure	32 (8.2)	34 (8.2)		including infections caus	
Compared	presence of cIAI	Indeterminate	34 (8.7)	19 (4.6)		by MDR pathogens	
ceftolozane/tazobactam +		ME population	n=275	n=321]	
metronidazole and	Exclusion Criteria:	Cure	259 (94.2)	304 (94.7)	-1.0 (-4.5 to 2.6)	Comments:	
meropenem for treatment	 clAI managed by staged 	Failure	16 (5.8)	17 (5.3)		• Only 7.2% of	
of cIAI	abdominal repair in which			•	•	Enterobacteriaceae isol	
December 2011-September	the fascia was not closed	Per-Pathogen Clinical Cu	re of ESBL-Producine	a Enterobactericeae at	TOC (ME Population):	were ESBL-producing a	
2013					Meropenem + placebo	only 5.7% of Pseudomo	
2013	Low likelihood of adequate		metror	nidazole	n (%)	isolates were classified	
Interventione	source control at surgery			(%)			
nterventions:	CrCl <30 ml/min	Enterobacteriaceae ESBL+		(95.8)	23/26 (88.5)	multidrug-resistant	
Ceftolozan/tazobactam 1.5	Use of systemic	Enterobacteriaceae, CTX-M-		(100.0)	8/11 (72.7)	Patients with ESRD we avaluated and ank 4 5%	
g IV every 8 hours +	antimicrobial therapy for IAI	E. coli, ESBL+		(100.0)	18/20 (90.0)	excluded and only 4.5%	
metronidazole 500 mg IV	for > 24 hours prior to first	E. coli, CTX-M-14/15		100.0)	7/9 (77.8)	patients had moderate	
every 8 hours for 4-14 days	dose of study drug unless	K. pneumoniae, ESBL+		87.5)	3/4 (75.0)	impairment at baseline	
 Meropenem 1 g IV every 8 	this treatment failed	K. pneumoniae, CTX-M-14/15	5 5/5 (*	100.0)	0/1 (0.0)	• In subgroup analyses,	
hours + placebo IV every 8						clinical cure rates in bot	
hours	Statistical Analysis:	Per-Pathogen Clinical Cu				treatment groups were	
Treatment duration: 4-14	Planned pooled sample size				Meropenem + placebo	generally lower in high-	
days	to ensure minimum 90%			nidazole	n (%)	patient populations incl	
-	power to demonstrate non-		(N=	307)	(n=345)	elderly patients, and pa	
Primary Outcome:	inferiority at a 10% non-	Gram-negatives Enterobacteriaceae	207/24	1 (94.2)	255/272 (93.8)	with higher APACHE II	
 Clinical cure rates at TOC 	inferiority margin at a 1-	E. cloacae		(86.4)	22/22 (100.0)	scores, moderate renal	
in the microbiological MITT	sided significance level of	E. coli		8 (94.7)	216/231 (93.5)	impairment, or small bo	
(mMITT) population	0.025	K. oxytoca		(100.0)	21/22 (95.5)	and colon infections	
	 Assumed 80% of patients 	K. pneumoniae		(93.3)	22/25 (88.8)		
Secondary Outcomes:	would meet criteria to be	P. mirabilis		(90.9)	9/10 (90.0)		
 Clinical cure rates at TOC 	included in mMITT	P. aeruginosa		(100.0)	27/29 (93.1)		
in the microbiologically	population and clinical cure	Gram-positives					
evaluable (ME) population	rate in both arms would be	E. faecalis	31/37	(83.8)	37/40 (92.5)		
 Microbiological outcomes 	75%	E. faecium	23/25	(92.0)	38/41 (92.7)		
Safety	 Non-inferiority hypothesis 	S. aureus		(100.0)	12/12 (100.0)		
Salely		S. anginosus		(83.3)	23/23 (100.0)	<u>_</u>	
	tested through 2-sided 95%	S. constellatus		(94.4)	20/23 (87.0)	41	
	CI approach	S. salivarius	9/10	(90.0)	8/8 (100.0)	41	
	Weighted difference in cure	Anaerobes		/		41	
	rates calculated using	B. fragilis		(95.1)	56/57 (98.2)	41	
	stratified Newcombe CI with	B. ovatus		(97.3)	42/42 (100.0)	41	
	minimum risk weights	B. thetaiotaomicron		(100.0)	40/43 (93.0)	41	
	 Non-inferiority claimed if the 	B. vulgatus	12/13	(92.3)	21/22 (95.5)	J	
	lower bound of the 95% CI		in SON of Definite				
	for the difference was above	Adverse Events Occuring				<u>, </u>	
	-10%				Meropenem + placebo		
	 Other endpoints were 			iidazole 482)	(n=497)		
	analyzed using a 95% CI	Any adverse event		(44.0)	212 (42.7)	41	
	calculated by the Wilson	Nausea		(7.9)	212 (42.7) 29 (5.8)	41	
	score methodology	Diarrhea		(6.2)	29 (5.8) 25 (5.0)	41	
		Vomiting		(3.3)	20 (4.0)	41	
	1					41	
		Pyrexia	25	(5.2)	20 (4 0)		
		Pyrexia Hypokalemia	25		20 (4.0)	41	
		Pyrexia Hypokalemia Insomnia	14	(5.2) (2.9) (3.5)	20 (4.0) 8 (1.6) 11 (2.2)		

Imperiment 0.0.1 0.1.0 Ducyriated Adverse Tevents Leading to Discontinuation: 0.012.0 1. Collocation Recoder: 0.0.010 1. Collocation: 0.0.010 1. Collocatio: 0.0.010 1. Col			1	1
Drug-related Adverse Events Leading to Discontinuation: • Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=3 (0.6%) • Meropenem + placebo: n=4 (0.8%) Serious Adverse Events: • Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=39 (8.1%) • Meropenem + placebo: n=36 (7.2%) Deaths: • Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=11 (2.3%) • Meropenem + placebo: n=8 (1.6%)	Anemia, postoperative	10 (2.1)	8 (1.6)	
 Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=3 (0.6%) Meropenem + placebo: n=4 (0.8%) Serious Adverse Events: Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=39 (8.1%) Meropenem + placebo: n=36 (7.2%) Deaths: Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=11 (2.3%) Meropenem + placebo: n=8 (1.6%) 	Hypertension	9 (1.9)	10 (2.0)	
 Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=3 (0.6%) Meropenem + placebo: n=4 (0.8%) Serious Adverse Events: Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=39 (8.1%) Meropenem + placebo: n=36 (7.2%) Deaths: Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=11 (2.3%) Meropenem + placebo: n=8 (1.6%) 				
 Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=3 (0.6%) Meropenem + placebo: n=4 (0.8%) Serious Adverse Events: Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=39 (8.1%) Meropenem + placebo: n=36 (7.2%) Deaths: Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=11 (2.3%) Meropenem + placebo: n=8 (1.6%) 	Drug-related Adverse Ev	ents Leading to Discontinuation:		
 Meropenem + placebo: n=4 (0.8%) <u>Serious Adverse Events:</u> Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=39 (8.1%) Meropenem + placebo: n=36 (7.2%) <u>Deaths:</u> Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=11 (2.3%) Meropenem + placebo: n=8 (1.6%) 	Ceftolozane/tazobactar	n + metronidazole: n=3 (0.6%)		
Serious Adverse Events: • Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=39 (8.1%) • Meropenem + placebo: n=36 (7.2%) Deaths: • Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=11 (2.3%) • Meropenem + placebo: n=8 (1.6%)	 Meropenem + placebo; 	n=4 (0.8%)		
 Meropenem + placebo: n=36 (7.2%) <u>Deaths:</u> Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=11 (2.3%) Meropenem + placebo: n=8 (1.6%) 				
 Meropenem + placebo: n=36 (7.2%) <u>Deaths:</u> Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=11 (2.3%) Meropenem + placebo: n=8 (1.6%) 	Sariaus Advarge Evente			
 Meropenem + placebo: n=36 (7.2%) <u>Deaths:</u> Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=11 (2.3%) Meropenem + placebo: n=8 (1.6%) 	Serious Adverse Events			
 Meropenem + placebo: n=36 (7.2%) <u>Deaths:</u> Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=11 (2.3%) Meropenem + placebo: n=8 (1.6%) 	Ceftolozane/tazobactar	n + metronidazole: n=39 (8.1%)		
 <u>Deaths:</u> Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=11 (2.3%) Meropenem + placebo: n=8 (1.6%) 	 Meropenem + placebos 	n=36 (7.2%)		
 Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=11 (2.3%) Meropenem + placebo: n=8 (1.6%) 				
 Ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole: n=11 (2.3%) Meropenem + placebo: n=8 (1.6%) 	Deaths:			
 Meropenem + placebo: n=8 (1.6%) 	Ceftolozane/tazobactar	n + metronidazole: n=11 (2.3%)		
Heugement + placebol. Held (10.99) None of the deaths considered to be related to the study treatment None of the deaths considered to be related to the study treatment		n=8 (1.6%)		
	 None of the deaths are 	neu (1.070)	ant	
	Invone or the deaths con	isidered to be related to the study treatm	lent	

Study Design	Methods	Results Conclusions			Conclusions/Comments		
Wagenlehner FM et al, 2015	Inclusion Criteria:	Primary and Secondary Efficacy Results:			Author's Conclusion:		
		, and coordinately	Ceftolozane/tazoba		Levofloxacin	% Difference	Ceftoloxane/tazobactam
Trial Design	 Pyuria 		n (%)		n (%)	(95% CI)	was superior to levofloxaci
Two identical phase III	 Diagnosis of pyelonephritis 	mMITT population					for composite cure rates
multicenter, prospective,	or complicated lower-	Composite cure	306/398 (76.9	9)	275/402 (68.4)	8.5 (2.3 to 14.6)	Ceftolozane/tazobactam
randomized (1:1), double-	urinary-tract infection	Micro.eradication	320/398 (80.4		290/402 (72.1)	8.3 (2.4 to 14.1)	demonstrated greater
blind, non-inferiority trials	 Admitted for intravenous 	Clinical cure	366/398 (92.0	D)	356/402 (88.6)	3.4 (-0.7 to 7.6)	eradication rates compared
 Compared 	 Admitted for intravenous antibiotic therapy 	Per-protocol population					to levofloxacin among
ceftolozane/tazobactam	 Pretreatment urine culture 	Composite cure	284/341 (83.3		266/353 (75.4)	8.0 (2.0 to 14.0)	patients with
and levofloxacin for		Micro. eradication	294/341 (86.2		274/353 (77.6)	8.6 (2.9 to 14.3)	Enterobacteriaceae spp.,
treatment of cUTI, including	specimen obtained within 36	Clinical cure	327/341 (95.9	9)	329/353 (93.2)	2.7 (-0.8 to 6.2)	including ESBL-producing
pyelonephritis	hours of initiating study	Commonaite Cumo et TOC	Visit hu Cubanau				strains
 July 2011-September 2013 	Evolucion Critorio	Composite Cure at TOC				Lawa flavora in	
• July 2011-September 2013	Exclusion Criteria:		Cetto	n (%)	zobactam	Levofloxacin n (%)	Comments:
Interventions:	Concomitant infections	Diagnosis		11 (70)		11 (%)	 Included more seriously ill
Ceftolozane/tazobactam 1.5	requiring treatment with non-	Pyelonephritis		259/328 (79.0)	240/328 (73.2)	 Included more senously in patients, as required IV
	study antibacterial agents	Other cUTI		47/70 (6		35/74 (47.3)	therapy for treatment
g IV every 8 hours	with gram-negative activity	Age			,		
Levofloxacin 750 mg IV	Infection at baseline that	< 65 years		236/298 (79.2)	222/303 (73.3)	 Patients with severe renal failure evaluated because
daily	would require more than 7	≥ 65 years		70/100 (7		53/99 (53.5)	failure excluded because
 Treatment duration: 7 days 	days of treatment	Bacteremia at baseline	•			• •	dosing recommendations
	 Severe renal failure 	Yes		23/29 (7	9.3)	19/33 (57.6)	for this group were not
Primary Outcome		No		283/369 (76.7)	256/369 (69.4)	available yet
Composite cure rate at test-	Statistical Analysis:	Baseline pathogen					Used high dose
of-cure visit in the	 Pooled sample size of 800 	Resistant to levofloxacin		60/100 (6		44/112 (39.3)	levofloxacin beyond the
microbiological MITT	patients in the mMITT	Susceptible to levofloxaci	n	231/272 (210/259 (81.1)	labeled duration (7 days as
(mMITT) population	population with assumed	ESBL-positive		38/61 (6	2.3)	20/57 (35.1)	opposed to 5 days)
	composite cure rate of 74%						 Most patients had
Secondary Outcome	for at least 90% power to	Microbiologic Eradicatio					pyelonephritis (82%)
Composite cure rate at test-	show non-inferiority at a		Ceftolozane/tazob	bactam	Levofloxacin	% Difference (95% CI)	Low incidence of <i>P</i> .
of-cure visit in the per-	margin of 10%	Gram-negatives	n (%)		n (%)	(95% CI)	aeruginosa (2.9%), limiting
protocol population	 Prespecified statistical 	All	287/323 (88.9	g)	263/340 (77.4)	11.5 (5.8 to 17.1)	statistical conclusions
 Clinical cure, 	criteria for primary and	Enterobacteriaeceae	281/316 (88.9		263/340 (77.4)	10.9 (5.2 to 16.6)	related to this pathogen
microbiological eradication,	secondary outcome was	E. coli	237/262 (90.5		226/284 (79.6)	10.9 (4.9 to 16.8)	 Safety profile consistent
and composite cure in	two-sided 95% CI around	ESBL-producers	27/36 (75.0)		18/36 (50.0)	NA	with that of other
subgroups	the treatment difference with	CTX-M-14/15	20/27 (74.1)		13/25 (52.0)	NA	cephalosporins
Safety	stratification by Newcombe	K. pneumoniae	21/25 (84.0))	14/23 (60.9)	23.1 (-2.1 to 45.4)	
-	minimum-risk rates	ESBL-producers	7/10 (70.0)		2/7 (28.6)	NA]
	 Superiority shown if 	CTX-M-15	5/8 (62.5)		1/4 (25.0)	NA	<u>_</u>]
	treatment difference was	P. mirabilis	10/10 (100.0))	8/11 (72.7)	27.3 (-5.6 to 56.6)	41
	positive and lower bound of	E. cloacae	2/6 (33.3)		6/7 (85.7)	-52.4 (-78.8 to -0.3)	41
	the 95% CI of this difference	P. aeruginosa	6/7 (85.7)		7/12 (58.3)	27.4 (-15.9 to 56.3)	41
	was positive	Gram-positives			40/00 (00 0)	44.0 (00 + 44.0)	41
	Other secondary outcomes	All E. faecalis	8/21 (38.1)		16/20 (80.0)	-41.9 (-63 to -11.8)	41
	analyzed with 95% Cl		5/16 (31.3)		12/16 (75.0)	-43.8 (-66.4 to -9.2)	41
	calculated by Wilson score	E. faecium S. aureus	<u>1/2 (50.0)</u> 3/4 (75.0)		3/3 (100.0) 1/1 (100.0)	-50 (-90.6 to 19.3) -25 (-69.9 to 56.9)	41
	method	S. aureus	3/4 (75.0)		1/1 (100.0)	-20 (-09.9 (0 00.9)	- -
	lindinga	Adverse Events Occurri	na in >2% of Dati	ionte in f			
					ozane/tazobactam	Levofloxacin	1
				Ceiloi	(n=533)	(n=535)	11
		Any adverse event			185 (34.7)	184 (34.4)	11
		Headache			31 (5.8)	26 (4.9)	11
		Constipation			21 (3.9)	17 (3.2)	11
		Nausea			15 (2.8)	9 (1.7)	11
		Diarrhea			10 (1.9)	23 (4.3)	11
		Upper abdominal pain			7 (1.3)	6 (1.1)	11
		Vomiting			6 (1.1)	6 (1.1)]

Pyrexia	8 (1.5)	4 (0.7)	
Urinary tract infection	9 (1.7)	9 (1.7)	
Insomnia	7 (1.3)	14 (2.6)	
Dizziness	6 (1.1)	1 (0.2)	
Myalgia	6 (1.1)	4 (0.7)	
Arthralgia	1 (0.2)	6 (1.1)	
Increased alanine aminotransferase	9 (1.7)	5 (0.9)	
Increased aspartate aminotransferase	9 (1.7)	5 (0.9)	
Drug-related Adverse Events Leading to • Incidence <2% in both treatment groups	Discontinuation		

Warnings, Precautions, and Adverse Effects^{6,16,17}

Table 8. Warnings and Precautions			
Warning/Precaution	Description		
Decreased efficacy in patients with baseline CrCl of 30 to ≤50 mL/min	In a subgroup analysis of a phase III cIAI trial, clinical cure rates were lower in patients with baseline CrCl of 30 to ≤50 mL/min compared to those with CrCl > 50 mL/min. This was more marked in the ceftolozane/tazobactam plus metronidazole arm compared to the meropenem arm. A similar trend was also seen in the cUTI trial. Monitor CrCl at least daily in patients with changing renal function and adjust the dosage accordingly.		
Hypersensitivity reactions	Serious and occasionally fatal hypersensitivity reactions and anaphylaxis have been reported in patients receiving beta-lactam antibiotics. Inquire about previous hypersensitivity reactions to other cephalosporins, penicillins, or other beta-lactams. Cross-sensitivity has been established.		
Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea (CDAD)	CDAD has been reported for nearly all systemic bacterial agents, including ceftolozane/tazobactam, and may range in severity from mild diarrhea to fatal colitis. CDAD must be considered in all patients who present with diarrhea following antibiotic treatment. If confirmed, discontinue antibacterials not directed against <i>C. difficile</i> , if possible.		
Development of drug-resistant bacteria	Prescribing of ceftolozane/tazobactam in the absence of a proven or strongly suspected bacterial infection is unlikely to provide benefit and risks the development of drug-resistant bacteria.		
Increased mortality	In phase 2 and 3 cIAI trials, there was an increased mortality associated with patients in the ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole arm (2.5%, 14/564) compared to the meropenem arm (1.5%, 8/536). Causes of death included worsening and/or complications of infection, surgery, and underlying conditions.		
Pregnancy category B	No adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women.		

Table 9. Adverse Effects Occurring in ≥1% of Patients Receiving Ceftolozane/tazobactam in Phase III Clinical Trials				
	Phase III cIAI Trial (n=482)	Phase III cUTI Trial (n=533)		
Gastrointestinal Disorders				
Vomiting	3.3%	1.1%		
Nausea	7.9%	2.8%		
Diarrhea	6.2%	1.9%		
Constipation	1.9%	3.9%		
Abdominal pain	1.2%	0.8%		
Central Nervous System				
Headache	2.5%	5.8%		
Insomnia	3.5%	1.3%		
Dizziness	0.8%	1.1%		
Fever	5.6%	1.7%		
Psychiatric				
Anxiety	1.9%	0.2%		
Cardiovascular				
Hypotension	1.7%	0.4%		
Atrial fibrillation	1.2%	0.2%		
Dermatologic				
Skin rash	1.7%	0.9%		
Endocrine				
Hypokalemia	3.3%	0.8%		
Hematologic				
Anemia	1.5%	0.4%		
Thrombocytosis	1.9%	0.4%		
Laboratory				
Increased alanine aminotransferase	1.5%	1.7%		
Increased aspartate aminotransferase	1%	1.7%		

Interactions⁶

Ceftolozane and tazobactam do not inhibit or show potential for induction of the cytochrome P450 enzyme system and there is limited potential for significant drug-drug interactions. Tazobactam is a known substrate for OAT1 and OAT3. Coadministration with probenicid, an OAT1/OAT3 inhibitor, has been shown to prolong the half-life of tazobactam by 71%. Coaadministration of ceftolozane/tazobactam with drugs that inhibit OAT1 and/or OAT3 may increase tazobactam plasma concentrations.

Dosage and Administration⁶

Table 10. Recommended Dosage					
Indication	Dose ^a	Route	Infusion Time	Frequency	Duration
cUTI	1.5 g	Intravenous	1 hour	Every 8 hours	7 days
cIAI ^b	1.5 g	Intravenous	1 hour	Every 8 hours	4-14 days

^aCeftolozane/tazobactam 1.5 g contains ceftolozane 1 g and tazobactam 500 mg ^bUsed in conjunction with metronidazole 500 mg intravenously every 8 hours

Special Population		Recommendation	
Renal impairment	CrCl >50 mL/min	No dosage adjustment necessary	
	CrCl 30-50 mL/min	750 mg (500 mg and 250 mg) every 8 hours	
	CrCl 15-29 mL/min	375 mg (250 mg and 125 mg) every 8 hours	
	CrCl <15 mL/min, not on dialysis	No dosage adjustments provided in the labeling	
	End-stage renal disease on hemodialysis	Administer single loading dose of 750 mg (500 mg and 250 mg) followed by a 150 mg (100 and 50 mg) maintenance dose administered every 8 hours. On hemodialysis days, administer the dose at the earliest possible time following completion of dialysis.	
Hepatic impairment	No dosage adjustment necessary		
Geriatric patients	Dosage adjustments should be based on renal function		
Pediatric patients	Safety and effectiveness has not been established in patients less than 18 years of age		
Gender	No dosage adjustment is recommended based on gender		
Race	No dose adjustment is recommended based on race		

Monitoring Parameters⁶

Monitor serum creatinine and CrCl at baseline and daily in patients with changing renal function.

How Supplied/Cost/Preparation^{6,11}

Table 12. Product Supply			
Product	Cost per Vial	Dosage	Cost per Day
Zerbaxa™ 1.5 g single-dose vial NDC 67919-030-01	\$83	1.5 g IV every 8 hours	\$249

Constitute the vial with 10 mL of sterile water for injection or 0.9% Sodium Chloride for Injection, USP and shake gently to dissolve. The final volume is approximately 11.4 mL. The constituted solution is not for direct injection. To prepare the required dose, withdraw the appropriate volume from the reconstituted vial. Add the withdrawn volume to an infusion bag containing 100 mL of 0.9% Sodium Chloride for Injection, USP or 5% Dextrose Injection, USP. Inspect drug products visually for particulate matter and discoloration prior to use. Ceftolozane/tazobactam infusions range from clear, colorless solutions to solutions that are clear and slightly yellow.

Compatibility of ceftolozane/tazobactam with other drugs has not been established. Ceftolozane/tazobactam should not be mixed with other drugs or physically added to solutions containing other drugs.

Upon constitution with sterile water for injection or 0.9% sodium chloride injection, reconstituted ceftolozane/tazobactam solution may be held for one hour prior to transfer and dilution in a suitable infusion bag. Following dilution of the solution with 0.9% sodium chloride or 5% dextrose, ceftolozane/tazobactam is stable for 24 hours when stored at room temperature or 7 days when stored under refrigeration at 2-8°C (36-46°F).

Non-Formulary Utilization Data¹⁸

A total of 5 patients have received non-formulary ceftolozane/tazobactam for infections due to multidrug resistant pathogens, all with consultation with an infectious diseases service, from January 2015 – September 2015.

Prepared by: Leah Marschall, PharmD

Reviewed by: Kiri Rolek, PharmD, BCPS

Appendix A: Summary of Safety Issues and Implications for Pharmacy Operations

Characteristic	Summary
Drug generic name (brand name)	Ceftolozane/tazobactam (Zerbaxa™)
Drug manufacturer	Merck
Schedule of medication	None
Anticipated use per month, anticipated patient population	<5 patients with infections due to MDR organisms with no other treatment options
Route of administration	Intravenous
Does the product package insert currently have any black box warning?	No
Contraindications or significant warnings against medication use?	Contraindicated in patients with known serious hypersensitivity to ceftolozane/tazobactam, piperacillin/tazobactam, or other members of the beta-lactam class.
Is there a Risk Evaluation and Management Strategy (REMS) program for the medication? If so, where may healthcare providers find these criteria?	No
Does the manufacturer require patients to meet specific criteria for treatment with this medication? If so, where may healthcare providers find these criteria?	No
Does the manufacturer have a restricted or special distribution program? If so, how may healthcare providers contact the program?	No
Is the medication (brand name, generic name, product packaging) similar to any other medications on the Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP) Sound-Alike-Look-Alike (SALA) list? If not, is the medication expected to be added to the list?	No, not expected to be added to the list.
Recommended storage conditions for medication, and how to manage excursions outside these conditions	Store intact vials at 2°C to 8°C (36°F to 46°F); protect from light. Reconstituted solution may be held for 1 hour prior to transfer and dilution in an infusion bag. Diluted solution may be stored for 24 hours at room temperature or for 7 days at 2°C to 8°C (36°F to 46°F); do not freeze
Preparation	Constitute the vial with 10 mL sterile water for injection or NS. Gently shake to dissolve. Final volume is approximately 11.4 mL and contains ceftolozane/tazobactam 1.5g. Withdraw the appropriate volume from the reconstituted vial. Add the withdrawn volume to an infusion bag containing 100 mL of NS or D5W.
Stability	Keep intact vials at 2-8°C (36-46°F); protect from light. Keep diluted solution for 24 hours at room temperature or for 7 days at 2-8°C (36-46°F).
Are Safe Handling precautions required?	No
Does the medication require disposal in a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) black box?	Νο
Can medication doses be sent to patient care units via pneumatic tube system?	Yes
Is filtration required during preparation or administration of the IV medication?	No
Is the IV medication a vesicant or irritant?	No
Is special monitoring recommended when starting therapy with this medication (eg. Telemetry)?	No
Is there a significant risk of a hypersensitivity risk with this medication?	No

References:

- 1. Golan Y. Empiric therapy for hospital-acquired, gram-negative complicated intra-abdominal infection and complicated urinary tract infections: a systematic literature review of current and emerging treatment options. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2015;15:313.
- 2. Hong, M, Hsu DI, Bounthavong M. Ceftolozane/tazobactam: a novel antipseudomonal cephalosporin and betalactamase inhibitor combination. *Infect Drug Res.* 2013;6:215-23.
- 3. Zhanel GG, Chung P, Adam H, et al. Ceftolozane/tazobactam: a novel cephalosporin/beta-lactamase inhibitor combination with activity against multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacilli. *Drugs*. 2014;74:31-51.
- 4. Sucher AJm Chahine EB, Cogan P, Fete M. Ceftolozane/tazobactam: a new cephalosporin and beta-lactamase inhibitor combination. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2015;49(9):1046-56.
- 5. Cho JC, Fiorenza MA, Estrada SJ. Ceftolozane/tazobactam: a novel cephalosporin/beta-lactamase inhibitor combination. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2015;35(7):701-15.
- 6. Zerbaxa[™] (ceftolozane/tazobactam) for injection [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck & Co., Inc. May 2015.
- Miller B, Hershberger E, Benzinger D, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of intravenous ceftolozane-tazobactam in health adult subjects following single and multiple ascending doses. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2010;56:3086-3091.
- 8. Ge Y, Whitehouse MJ, Friedland I, Talbot GH. Pharmacokinetics and safety of CXA-101, a new antipseudomonal cephalosporin, in health adult male and female subjects receiving single- and multiple-dose intravenous infusions. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010;54:3427-31.
- Bulik CC, Tessier PR, Keel RA, Sutherland CA, Nicolau DP. In vivo comparison of CXA-101 (FR264205) with and without tazobactam versus piperacillin-tazobactam using human simulated exposures against phenotypically diverse gram-negative organisms. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56:544-9.
- Craig WA, Andes DR. In vivo activities of ceftolozane, a new cephalosporin, with and without tazobactam against Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Enterobacteriaceae, including strains with extended-spectrum β-lactamases, in the thighs of neutropenic mice. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57:1577-82.
- 11. Miller B, Hershberger E, Benzinger D, et al. Pharmacokinetics of CXA-101/tazobactam in subjects with mild or moderate renal impairment [abstract no. P1519]. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2011;1(Suppl 4):S433. Plus poster presented at the 21st European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases; Milan, May 2011.
- 12. Miller B, Chandorkar G, Umeh O, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of intravenous ceftolozane/tazobactam 3 g every 8 hours and cumulative fraction of response (CFR) in plasma and epithelial lining fluid in a simulated ventilator-associated pneumonia population [abstract no. A-641 plus poster]. 52nd Annual Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. San Francisco, September 2012.
- Hershberger E, Mouksassi M, Steenbergen JN, et al. CXA-101/tazobactam probability of target attainment using population pharmacokinetic analysis. Presented at the 21st European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases and 27th International Congress of Chemotherapy; Milan, May 2011.
- Moya B, Zamorano L, Juan C, Perez JL, Ge Y, Oliver A. Activity of a new cephalosporin, CXA-101 (FR264205), against β-lactam-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mutants selected in vitro and after antipseudomonal treatment of intensive care unit patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2010;54:1213-7.
- 15. FDA. FDA approves new antibacterial drug Zerbaxa. December 19, 2014. Available at http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm427534.htm. Accessed June 2015.
- Solomkin J, Hershberger E, Miller B, et al. Ceftolozane/tazobactam plus metronidazole for complicated intraabdominal infections in an era of multidrug resistance: results from a randomized, double-blind, phase 3 trial (ASPECT-cIAI). CID. 2015;60(10):1462-1471.
- 17. Wagenlehner FM, Umeh O, Steenbergen J, et al. Ceftolozane-tazobactam compared with levofloxacin in the treatment of coomplicated urinary-tract infections, including pyelonephritis: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial (ASPECT-cUTI). *Lancet.* 2015;385:1949-1956.
- 18. Retrieved, 2015, Retrieved from http://www.cardinal.com/