



## Criteria for Formulary Consideration of Isavuconazonium Sulfate

### Efficacy

Isavuconazonium is approved by the FDA for treatment of invasive aspergillosis and invasive mucormycosis in adults.<sup>1</sup> The SECURE trial<sup>3</sup> was a phase III, double-blind, multicenter, international study that compared isavuconazonium to voriconazole for primary treatment of invasive aspergillosis. Day 42 all-cause mortality was 19% with isavuconazonium and 20% with voriconazole, with an adjusted treatment difference of -1.0% (95% CI -7.8 to 5.7). Because the upper bound of the 95% CI (5.7%) did not exceed 10%, non-inferiority was shown. For primary treatment of mucormycosis, isavuconazonium was evaluated in the VITAL study<sup>4</sup> which was a single-arm open label trial. Results were compared with amphotericin B treatment in a matched case-control analysis. Day 42 all-cause mortality with isavuconazonium was 7/21 (33%) compared to 13/39 (39%) in the amphotericin B-treated matched controls. Posaconazole is the other triazole that is used for Mucorales, but it has not been studied in primary treatment of invasive mucormycosis. Its role is currently limited to prophylaxis and step-down therapy.<sup>13</sup>

### Safety

Potential serious adverse effects with isavuconazonium include hepatic adverse drug reactions (including hepatitis, cholestasis or hepatic failure including death), infusion reactions, and hypersensitivity reactions. The most common adverse effects are nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, hypokalemia, dyspnea, elevated liver enzymes, abdominal pain, headache, peripheral edema, constipation, fatigue and insomnia.<sup>1,2</sup> In the SECURE trial,<sup>3</sup> drug-related adverse events were reported in 109 (42%) patients receiving isavuconazonium and 155 (60%) receiving voriconazole ( $p < 0.001$ ). During treatment, isavuconazonium-treated patients had a lower frequency of hepatobiliary disorders (23 [9%] vs 42 [16%];  $p = 0.016$ ), eye disorders (39 [15%] vs 69 [27%];  $p = 0.002$ ), and skin or subcutaneous tissue disorders (86 [33%] vs 110 [42%];  $p = 0.037$ ). Additionally, isavuconazonium has been shown to shorten the QTc interval whereas all other triazoles are associated with prolonged QTc interval. The formulation of isavuconazonium as a water soluble prodrug facilitates IV administration without the need for the potentially nephrotoxic cyclodextrin excipient that is used with other triazoles.<sup>3</sup>

### Uniqueness

Isavuconazonium does not require therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) like some other triazoles because it demonstrates predictable and linear pharmacokinetics with low interpatient variability. It has good oral bioavailability and food does not significantly alter its absorption.<sup>1</sup> In contrast, TDM is recommended with voriconazole because of its narrow therapeutic window and interpatient variability due to genetic CYP2C19 polymorphisms and hepatic enzyme saturation. TDM is also recommended with the posaconazole solution due to its unpredictable and variable absorption.<sup>5</sup> Voriconazole and posaconazole are both strong inhibitors of CYP3A4 whereas isavuconazonium is a moderate inhibitor of that enzyme.<sup>2,7,8</sup>

### Cost (AWP)

IV solution: 372mg \$372/vial  
PO capsules: 186mg \$96 each

### Recommendations

Add isavuconazonium to the formulary restricted to the infectious diseases service for treatment of invasive fungal infections in patients intolerant of first-line agents at therapeutic doses.

Based on a review of the current literature, the Antimicrobial Stewardship Program at Nebraska Medicine created the table below to guide clinicians when choosing therapy for invasive fungal infections. Therapeutic decisions should consider the specific organism, severity of infection, patient characteristics, potential medication interactions, and antifungal agent characteristics (bioavailability, tolerability, price, etc.).

Infection	1 <sup>st</sup> Line Agents	2 <sup>nd</sup> Line Agents
Invasive Aspergillosis	Voriconazole	<b>Isavuconazonium</b>
Mucormycosis	Amphotericin B	<b>Isavuconazonium</b>
Histoplasmosis	Amphotericin B, Itraconazole or Voriconazole	Posaconazole or <b>Isavuconazonium</b>
High-risk fungal prophylaxis	Voriconazole	Posaconazole
Candidiasis	Micafungin or Fluconazole	Voriconazole

The authors of this document have no financial relationship with pharmaceutical companies, biomedical device manufacturers, or distributors or others whose products or services may be considered related to the subject matter within.

**Isavuconazonium Sulfate (Cresemba®, Astellas Pharma US Inc.)**

**June 2017  
Non-Formulary**

### Introduction

Invasive fungal infections are uncommon and occur primarily in immunocompromised patients, especially in patients with hematologic malignancies and those who have undergone solid organ or hematologic stem cell transplantation. *Aspergillus* and Mucorales are ubiquitous in nature and their spores can become airborne. These infections most commonly become localized in the lungs after inhalation of these spores. Invasive fungal infections are difficult to treat and are associated with significant morbidity, mortality and health care costs. Many of the available antifungals suffer from limited spectrum, adverse effects, significant drug-drug interactions and/or unpredictable pharmacokinetic profiles.<sup>5</sup>

Isavuconazonium has emerged as alternative treatment for these invasive fungal infections in patients who fail or are not able to tolerate the first line medications.<sup>5,6</sup> Trials evaluating the use of isavuconazonium for fungal prophylaxis in immunocompromised patients are currently ongoing.<sup>9,10</sup>

	1 <sup>st</sup> line	2 <sup>nd</sup> Line
Invasive Aspergillosis	Voriconazole	<b>Isavuconazonium</b>
Mucormycosis	Amphotericin B	<b>Isavuconazonium</b>
Histoplasmosis	Amphotericin B, Itraconazole or Voriconazole	Posaconazole or <b>Isavuconazonium</b>
High-risk fungal prophylaxis	Voriconazole	Posaconazole
Candidiasis	Micafungin or Fluconazole	Voriconazole

### Pharmacokinetics

After IV administration of isavuconazonium, plasma concentrations of the prodrug and inactive cleavage product declined rapidly. The prodrug was below the level of detection by 1.25 hours after the start of a 1 hour infusion. Total exposure of the prodrug was less than 1% that of the active isavuconazole. The inactive cleavage product was quantifiable in some subjects up to 8 hours after the start of infusion. Total exposure to the inactive cleavage product was 1.3% that of isavuconazole. After oral administration, no significant concentrations of the prodrug or inactive cleavage product were seen in plasma. Oral administration of isavuconazonium with a high-fat meal resulted in an increase  $C_{max}$  by 9% and increased AUC by 9%. Isavuconazole is metabolized by CYP3A4/5 and UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT). None of the metabolites has an AUC greater than 10% of isavuconazole.<sup>1</sup> The following table summarizes other important pharmacokinetic properties of isavuconazole.<sup>2</sup>

Half-life elimination (IV)	130 hours
Steady State Volume of Distribution	450 liters
Protein Binding (primarily to albumin)	>99%
Bioavailability	98%
Time to peak (oral)	2-3 hours
Excretion (oral)	46.1% feces, 45.5% urine
Excretion (IV)	95% urine

### Pharmacology

Isavuconazonium sulfate is a prodrug that is rapidly hydrolyzed in the blood to active isavuconazole. Isavuconazole inhibits the synthesis of ergosterol, a key component of the fungal cell membrane, through the inhibition of cytochrome P-450 dependent enzyme lanosterol 14-alpha-demethylase. This enzyme is responsible for the conversion of lanosterol to ergosterol. An accumulation of methylated sterol precursors and a depletion of ergosterol within the fungal cell membrane weakens the membrane structure and function.<sup>1,2</sup>

### FDA Approved Indications

- Treatment of invasive aspergillosis in adults (Approval date: March 6, 2015)<sup>2</sup>
- Treatment of invasive mucormycosis in adults (Approval date: March 6, 2015)<sup>2</sup>

## Clinical Trials

### SECURE Trial<sup>3</sup>

Study Design	Methods	Results	Conclusions/Comments																																																												
<p>Maertens JA et al, 2016</p> <p>Trial design – Phase III, prospective, double-blind, randomized, international, multicenter, comparative-group study</p> <p>Number of patients in each group:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ITT population: received any dose of the study drug               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>isavuconazonium n=258</li> <li>voriconazole n=258</li> </ul> </li> <li>mITT population: proven or probable invasive mold disease               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>isavuconazonium n=143</li> <li>voriconazole n=129</li> </ul> </li> <li>myITT population: proven or probable aspergillosis               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>isavuconazonium n=123</li> <li>voriconazole n=108</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Intervention or treatment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Isavuconazonium 372 mg IV three times daily on days 1 and 2, then IV or PO once daily.</li> <li>Voriconazole 6mg/kg IV twice daily on day 1, 4 mg/kg IV twice daily on day 2, then 4 mg/kg IV or 200 mg PO twice daily thereafter.</li> </ul> <p>Length of trial: 84 days</p>	<p>Inclusion Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>18 years and older</li> <li>Proven, probable or possible invasive mold disease caused by <i>aspergillus</i> or other filamentous fungi</li> </ul> <p>Exclusion Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Hepatic dysfunction (bilirubin <math>\geq 3 \times</math> ULN, ALT or AST <math>\geq 5 \times</math> ULN, cirrhosis or chronic hepatic failure)</li> <li>Moderate-to-severe renal dysfunction (creatinine clearance <math>&lt; 50</math> mL/min)</li> <li>Concurrent treatment with strong inhibitors or inducers of CYP enzymes</li> <li>Advanced HIV infection with CD4 count <math>&lt; 200</math></li> <li>Patients who had been administered more than four cumulative days of itraconazole, voriconazole, or posaconazole within the 7 days prior to the first dose of study drug</li> <li>Body weight <math>\leq 40</math> kg</li> <li>Female patients who were pregnant, breast-feeding or of childbearing potential and not using highly effective method of birth control</li> </ul> <p>Statistical analysis, power, etc:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The pre-specified non-inferiority margin for the primary end point is 10%</li> <li>255 patients per group provided 80% power for the primary endpoint</li> <li>Stratified Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel method to calculate adjusted treatment difference.</li> <li>Fisher's exact test for comparison of treatment-emergent adverse events between treatment groups</li> </ul>	<p>Primary Efficacy Variable: Day 42 all-cause mortality in patients who received at least 1 dose of the study drug (ITT population)</p> <p>Secondary Efficacy Variables: Day 84 all-cause mortality; overall, clinical, mycological and radiological responses on day 42, day 84 and at end of treatment</p> <p>Results</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="789 391 1667 943"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Isavuconazonium</th> <th>Voriconazole</th> <th>Adjusted Treatment Difference (95% CI)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ITT - Day 42 all-cause mortality</td> <td>48 (19%)</td> <td>52 (20%)</td> <td>-1% (-7.8 to 5.7)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ITT - Day 84 all-cause mortality</td> <td>75 (29%)</td> <td>80 (31%)</td> <td>-1.4 % (-9.2 to 6.3)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>mITT - Day 42 all-cause mortality</td> <td>28 (20%)</td> <td>30 (23%)</td> <td>-2.6% (-12.2 to 6.9)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>mITT - Day 84 all-cause mortality</td> <td>43 (30%)</td> <td>48 (37%)</td> <td>-5.5% (-16.1 to 5.1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>myITT - Day 42 all-cause mortality</td> <td>15 (17%)</td> <td>24 (22%)</td> <td>-2.7% (-12.9 to 7.5)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>myITT - Day 84 all-cause mortality</td> <td>24 (27%)</td> <td>39 (36%)</td> <td>-5.7% (-17.1 to 5.6)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Overall response at end of treatment</td> <td>50 (35%)</td> <td>47 (36%)</td> <td>1.6% (-9.3 to 12.6)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Clinical response at end of treatment</td> <td>85/137 (62%)</td> <td>73/121 (60%)</td> <td>0.4% (-10.6 to 11.5)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mycological response at end of treatment</td> <td>54/143 (38%)</td> <td>53/129 (41%)</td> <td>3.8% (-7.4 to 15.1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Radiological response at end of treatment</td> <td>41/141 (29%)</td> <td>42/127 (33%)</td> <td>5.7% (-4.9 to 16.3)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Adverse Events</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Most common treatment-emergent adverse events: nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, pyrexia and hypokalemia.</li> <li>Overall, fewer adverse events were considered drug-related (as determined by the investigator) for the isavuconazonium than voriconazole (109 [42%] vs 155 [60%], <math>p &lt; 0.001</math>)</li> <li>Within system organ classes, rates of treatment-emergent adverse events were similar across most categories. The isavuconazonium group did have significantly lower frequency of hepatobiliary disorders, eye disorders, and skin or subcutaneous tissue disorders.</li> </ul> <table border="1" data-bbox="789 1214 1667 1344"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Isavuconazonium (n=257)</th> <th>Voriconazole (n=259)</th> <th>p value</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders</td> <td>86 (33%)</td> <td>110 (42%)</td> <td>0.037</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Eye disorders</td> <td>39 (15%)</td> <td>69 (27%)</td> <td>0.002</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hepatobiliary disorders</td> <td>23 (9%)</td> <td>42 (16%)</td> <td>0.016</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>(Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders include rash, erythema, skin lesion and drug eruption. Eye disorders include visual impairment, photophobia, reduced visual acuity and retinal hemorrhage. Hepatobiliary disorders include hyperbilirubinemia, abnormal hepatic function, jaundice and cholestasis.)</p>		Isavuconazonium	Voriconazole	Adjusted Treatment Difference (95% CI)	ITT - Day 42 all-cause mortality	48 (19%)	52 (20%)	-1% (-7.8 to 5.7)	ITT - Day 84 all-cause mortality	75 (29%)	80 (31%)	-1.4 % (-9.2 to 6.3)	mITT - Day 42 all-cause mortality	28 (20%)	30 (23%)	-2.6% (-12.2 to 6.9)	mITT - Day 84 all-cause mortality	43 (30%)	48 (37%)	-5.5% (-16.1 to 5.1)	myITT - Day 42 all-cause mortality	15 (17%)	24 (22%)	-2.7% (-12.9 to 7.5)	myITT - Day 84 all-cause mortality	24 (27%)	39 (36%)	-5.7% (-17.1 to 5.6)	Overall response at end of treatment	50 (35%)	47 (36%)	1.6% (-9.3 to 12.6)	Clinical response at end of treatment	85/137 (62%)	73/121 (60%)	0.4% (-10.6 to 11.5)	Mycological response at end of treatment	54/143 (38%)	53/129 (41%)	3.8% (-7.4 to 15.1)	Radiological response at end of treatment	41/141 (29%)	42/127 (33%)	5.7% (-4.9 to 16.3)		Isavuconazonium (n=257)	Voriconazole (n=259)	p value	Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	86 (33%)	110 (42%)	0.037	Eye disorders	39 (15%)	69 (27%)	0.002	Hepatobiliary disorders	23 (9%)	42 (16%)	0.016	<p>Author's Conclusion: Isavuconazonium was non-inferior to voriconazole for the primary treatment of suspected invasive mold disease. It was well tolerated compared with voriconazole, with fewer study-drug-related adverse events. These results support the use of isavuconazonium for the primary treatment of patients with invasive mold disease.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The protocol did not allow therapeutic drug monitoring for voriconazole to maintain study blinding</li> <li>Study funded by the drug manufacturer</li> </ul>
	Isavuconazonium	Voriconazole	Adjusted Treatment Difference (95% CI)																																																												
ITT - Day 42 all-cause mortality	48 (19%)	52 (20%)	-1% (-7.8 to 5.7)																																																												
ITT - Day 84 all-cause mortality	75 (29%)	80 (31%)	-1.4 % (-9.2 to 6.3)																																																												
mITT - Day 42 all-cause mortality	28 (20%)	30 (23%)	-2.6% (-12.2 to 6.9)																																																												
mITT - Day 84 all-cause mortality	43 (30%)	48 (37%)	-5.5% (-16.1 to 5.1)																																																												
myITT - Day 42 all-cause mortality	15 (17%)	24 (22%)	-2.7% (-12.9 to 7.5)																																																												
myITT - Day 84 all-cause mortality	24 (27%)	39 (36%)	-5.7% (-17.1 to 5.6)																																																												
Overall response at end of treatment	50 (35%)	47 (36%)	1.6% (-9.3 to 12.6)																																																												
Clinical response at end of treatment	85/137 (62%)	73/121 (60%)	0.4% (-10.6 to 11.5)																																																												
Mycological response at end of treatment	54/143 (38%)	53/129 (41%)	3.8% (-7.4 to 15.1)																																																												
Radiological response at end of treatment	41/141 (29%)	42/127 (33%)	5.7% (-4.9 to 16.3)																																																												
	Isavuconazonium (n=257)	Voriconazole (n=259)	p value																																																												
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	86 (33%)	110 (42%)	0.037																																																												
Eye disorders	39 (15%)	69 (27%)	0.002																																																												
Hepatobiliary disorders	23 (9%)	42 (16%)	0.016																																																												

## VITAL Study<sup>4</sup>

Study Design	Methods	Results	Conclusions/Comments																																										
<p>Marty FM et al, 2016</p> <p>Trial design – single-arm open-label trial and case-control analysis</p> <p>Number of patients in each group:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 37 total patients with proven or probable mucormycosis received isavuconazonium</li> <li>• 21 patients were given isavuconazonium as primary treatment</li> <li>• 11 patients were given isavuconazonium for refractory disease</li> <li>• 5 patients were given isavuconazonium because they were intolerant to other antifungals</li> <li>• 33 patients from the FungiScope Registry who received primary amphotericin B-based treatment were matched to the 21 patients who received isavuconazonium as primary treatment</li> </ul> <p>Intervention or treatment: Isavuconazonium 372 mg IV or PO three times daily for six doses followed by 372 mg once daily until disease resolution, failure or for 180 days or more.</p> <p>Length of trial: Median isavuconazonium treatment was 84 days (IQR 19-179, range 2-882)</p>	<p>Inclusion Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 18 years and older</li> <li>• Proven or probable mucormycosis (documented by culture or histology/cytology)</li> </ul> <p>Exclusion Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hepatic dysfunction (bilirubin <math>\geq 3x</math> ULN, ALT or AST <math>\geq 5x</math> ULN, cirrhosis or chronic hepatic failure)</li> <li>• Concurrent treatment with strong inhibitors or inducers of CYP enzymes</li> <li>• Advanced HIV infection (CD4 <math>&lt; 50</math>)</li> <li>• Body weight <math>\leq 40</math> kg</li> <li>• Female patients who were pregnant, breast-feeding or of childbearing potential and not using highly effective method of birth control</li> </ul> <p>Statistical analysis, power, etc:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Matching of controls based on severe disease (CNS or disseminated involvement), hematological malignancy and surgical treatment within 7 days of antifungal treatment initiation</li> <li>• Hazard ratio and its 95% CI calculated from a Cox model without covariates.</li> <li>• Patients with unknown survival status were regarded as deaths in the crude mortality calculations and were censored at the last known day alive for Kaplan-Meier analysis</li> </ul>	<p>Primary Efficacy Variable: Day 42 all-cause mortality</p> <p>Secondary Efficacy Variables: Overall, clinical radiological and mycological responses at day 42, day 84</p> <p>Results</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="793 305 1654 654"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Primary treatment (N=21)</th> <th>Refractory (N=11)</th> <th>Intolerant to other antifungals (N=5)</th> <th>Total (N=37)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Clinical Response at EOT</td> <td>10/18 (56%)</td> <td>2/9 (22%)</td> <td>2/4 (50%)</td> <td>14/31 (45%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mycological response at EOT</td> <td>6/19 (32%)</td> <td>4/11 (36%)</td> <td>2/5 (40%)</td> <td>12/35 (34%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Radiological Response at EOT</td> <td>3/18 (17%)</td> <td>2/10 (20%)</td> <td>1/5 (20%)</td> <td>6/33 (18%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Day 42 all-cause mortality</td> <td>7 (33%)</td> <td>5 (45%)</td> <td>2 (40%)</td> <td>14 (38%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Day 84 all-cause mortality</td> <td>9 (43%)</td> <td>5 (45%)</td> <td>2 (40%)</td> <td>16 (43%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>EOT=End of Treatment</p> <p>Case-Control Analysis</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="793 727 1654 808"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Isavuconazonium</th> <th>Amphotericin B</th> <th>p value</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Crude all-cause mortality</td> <td>7/21 (33%)</td> <td>13/33 (39%)</td> <td>0.775</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Weighted all-cause mortality</td> <td>33%</td> <td>41%</td> <td>0.595</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Adverse Events</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Treatment-emergent adverse events occurred in 35 (95%) of patients. The most common adverse events were vomiting (32%), diarrhea (27%), nausea (27%), pyrexia (27%) and constipation (22%)</li> <li>• 28 patients had serious adverse events.</li> <li>• Pneumonia and septic shock occurred in 3 patients. No other serious adverse events occurred in more than 2 patients.</li> <li>• 6 patients discontinued isavuconazonium treatment due to adverse events. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Relapse or progression of malignant disease (2 patients)</li> <li>○ Acute liver injury (2 patients)</li> <li>○ E. coli bacteremia (1 patient)</li> <li>○ Nausea (1 patient)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Primary treatment (N=21)	Refractory (N=11)	Intolerant to other antifungals (N=5)	Total (N=37)	Clinical Response at EOT	10/18 (56%)	2/9 (22%)	2/4 (50%)	14/31 (45%)	Mycological response at EOT	6/19 (32%)	4/11 (36%)	2/5 (40%)	12/35 (34%)	Radiological Response at EOT	3/18 (17%)	2/10 (20%)	1/5 (20%)	6/33 (18%)	Day 42 all-cause mortality	7 (33%)	5 (45%)	2 (40%)	14 (38%)	Day 84 all-cause mortality	9 (43%)	5 (45%)	2 (40%)	16 (43%)		Isavuconazonium	Amphotericin B	p value	Crude all-cause mortality	7/21 (33%)	13/33 (39%)	0.775	Weighted all-cause mortality	33%	41%	0.595	<p>Author's Conclusion: Isavuconazonium showed activity against mucormycosis with efficacy similar to amphotericin B. Isavuconazonium can be used for treatment of mucormycosis and is well tolerated.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Small sample size</li> <li>• No blinding</li> <li>• Study funded by the drug manufacturer</li> </ul>
	Primary treatment (N=21)	Refractory (N=11)	Intolerant to other antifungals (N=5)	Total (N=37)																																									
Clinical Response at EOT	10/18 (56%)	2/9 (22%)	2/4 (50%)	14/31 (45%)																																									
Mycological response at EOT	6/19 (32%)	4/11 (36%)	2/5 (40%)	12/35 (34%)																																									
Radiological Response at EOT	3/18 (17%)	2/10 (20%)	1/5 (20%)	6/33 (18%)																																									
Day 42 all-cause mortality	7 (33%)	5 (45%)	2 (40%)	14 (38%)																																									
Day 84 all-cause mortality	9 (43%)	5 (45%)	2 (40%)	16 (43%)																																									
	Isavuconazonium	Amphotericin B	p value																																										
Crude all-cause mortality	7/21 (33%)	13/33 (39%)	0.775																																										
Weighted all-cause mortality	33%	41%	0.595																																										

## Warnings, Precautions, and Adverse Effects

Contraindications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Known hypersensitivity to isavuconazonium</li> <li>• Familial short QT syndrome</li> <li>• Co-administration with strong CYP3A4 inhibitors or inducers<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>
Hepatic Adverse Drug Reactions	Elevations in liver enzymes have been reported in clinical trials. These elevations were generally reversible and did not require discontinuation of isavuconazonium. Cases of more severe hepatic adverse drug reactions including hepatitis, cholestasis or hepatic failure including death have been reported in patients with serious underlying medical conditions (e.g., hematologic malignancy) during treatment with azole antifungal agents, including isavuconazonium. Monitor liver-related laboratory tests at baseline and throughout therapy. Discontinue isavuconazonium if clinical signs and symptoms consistent with liver disease develop that may be attributable to the medication. <sup>1</sup>
Infusion-Related Reactions	Infusion-related reactions including hypotension, dyspnea, chills, dizziness, paresthesia, and hypoesthesia were reported during intravenous administration of isavuconazonium. In the SECURE trial, <sup>3</sup> potential anaphylaxis and severe cutaneous reactions were reported in 1.9% of patients. Discontinue the infusion if these reactions occur. <sup>1</sup>
Hypersensitivity Reactions	Serious hypersensitivity and severe skin reactions, such as anaphylaxis or Stevens Johnson syndrome, have been reported during treatment with other azole antifungal agents. Discontinue isavuconazonium if a patient develops a severe cutaneous adverse reaction. There is no information regarding cross-sensitivity between isavuconazonium and other azole antifungal agents. Caution should be used when initiating isavuconazonium in patients with hypersensitivity to other azoles. <sup>1</sup>
Pediatrics	The safety and efficacy of isavuconazonium has not been studied in patients less than 18 years of age. <sup>1</sup>
Pregnancy	Pregnancy Category C. There are no adequate studies of isavuconazonium in pregnant women and the medication should only be used during pregnancy if the potential benefit to the patient outweighs the risk to the fetus. Based on animal data, isavuconazonium is predicted to have the potential to increase the risk of adverse developmental outcomes above background risk. <sup>1</sup>
Lactation	Animal studies found that isavuconazole was excreted in breast milk of rats. Breast feeding while taking isavuconazonium is not recommended. <sup>1</sup>

A total of 403 patients were exposed to isavuconazonium in two phase III clinical trials.<sup>1</sup> Serious adverse reactions occurred in 55% of patients and 14% of patients permanently discontinued treatment with isavuconazonium due to an adverse reaction. The adverse reactions which most often led to discontinuation of isavuconazonium were: confusion (0.7%), acute renal failure (0.7%), increased blood bilirubin (0.5%), convulsion (0.5%), dyspnea (0.5%), epilepsy (0.5%), respiratory failure (0.5%) and vomiting (0.5%). The following table includes treatment-emergent adverse reactions with rates of 5% or greater in patients who received isavuconazonium in the SECURE trial.<sup>3</sup>

	Isavuconazonium (N=257) n (%)	Voriconazole (N=259) n (%)
Nausea	71 (28)	78 (30)
Vomiting	64 (25)	73 (28)
Diarrhea	61 (24)	60 (23)
Hypokalemia	49 (19)	58 (22)
Dyspnea	44 (17)	35 (14)
Elevated liver laboratory tests <sup>a</sup>	44 (17)	63 (24)
Abdominal pain	43 (17)	59 (23)
Headache	43 (17)	38 (15)
Peripheral edema	39 (15)	46 (18)
Constipation	36 (14)	54 (21)
Fatigue	27 (11)	18 (7)
Insomnia	27 (11)	25 (10)
Back pain	26 (10)	19 (7)
Renal failure	26 (10)	21 (8)
Chest pain	23 (9)	16 (6)
Decreased appetite	22 (9)	28 (11)
Delirium <sup>b</sup>	22 (9)	30 (12)
Rash	22 (9)	36 (14)
Anxiety	21 (8)	18 (7)
Hypotension	21 (8)	28 (11)
Pruritus	21 (8)	15 (6)
Acute respiratory failure	19 (7)	22 (9)
Dyspepsia	16 (6)	14 (5)
Injection site reaction	16 (6)	4 (2)
Hypomagnesemia	14 (5)	27 (10)

<sup>a</sup> Elevated liver laboratory tests include reactions of increased alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), blood alkaline phosphatase, blood bilirubin, and gamma-glutamyltransferase.

<sup>b</sup> Delirium includes adverse reactions of agitation, confusion, delirium, disorientation, and mental status changes.

## Interactions

Isavuconazonium is a substrate of CYP3A4. It inhibits CYP3A4 (moderate), CYP2C9 (weak), P-glycoprotein (P-gp) (mild) and Organic Cation Transporter 2 (OCT2) (mild). It induces CYP2B6 (weak) and CYP2C9 (weak/moderate).<sup>2</sup> Co-administration with CYP3A4 inhibitors or inducers can significantly alter the plasma concentrations of isavuconazonium and is contraindicated.<sup>1</sup> Moderate CYP3A4 inhibitors and inducers should be used with caution with isavuconazonium as they also have the potential to alter the plasma concentrations of isavuconazole. Drugs that are CYP3A4 substrates could have increased exposure when co-administered with isavuconazonium. Patients should be monitored for adverse reactions. Appropriate TDM and dose adjustment of immunosuppressants (i.e., tacrolimus, sirolimus, and cyclosporine) may be necessary when co-administered with isavuconazonium. Drugs with a narrow therapeutic window that are P-gp substrates, such as digoxin, may require dose adjustment when co-administered with isavuconazonium.<sup>1</sup>

## Dosage and Administration

The loading dose is 372 mg IV or PO every 8 hours for 6 doses (48 hours). The maintenance dose of 372 mg IV or PO once daily should be started 12 to 24 hours after the last loading dose. It is not necessary to repeat the loading dose when switching between IV and oral formulations. There is no dose adjustment necessary in geriatric patients, patients with renal impairment and patients with mild or moderate hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh class A or B). For patients with severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh class C), no dose adjustment is provided, but isavuconazonium should be used with caution because it has not been studied in this population.<sup>1,2</sup>

Isavuconazonium for injection must be administered through an in-line filter (pore size 0.2 to 1.2 micron). Infuse over a minimum of 1 hour in 250 mL of 0.9% sodium chloride or 5% dextrose to reduce the risk of infusion related reactions. Do not infuse isavuconazonium with other intravenous medications. Flush intravenous lines with 0.9% sodium chloride or 5% dextrose prior to and after the infusion. Isavuconazonium capsules can be taken with or without food. Swallow capsules whole. Do not chew, crush, dissolve, or open the capsules.<sup>1,2</sup>

## Monitoring Parameters

Monitor for hypersensitivity reactions with initial doses, liver function tests (e.g. AST, ALT, alkaline phosphatase, total bilirubin) at baseline and periodically during therapy, and infusion-related reactions (e.g. hypotension, dyspnea, chills, dizziness, paresthesias, hypoesthesia) during IV infusion.<sup>2</sup>

## How Supplied

Isavuconazonium is available as 186 mg capsules (equivalent to 100 mg of isavuconazole). Store capsules at 20-25°C in original blister packaging to protect from moisture. Excursions are permitted between 15-30°C.<sup>1,2</sup>

The intravenous formulation is available in a single-dose vial as a sterile lyophilized powder containing 372 mg of isavuconazonium (equivalent to 200 mg of isavuconazole). Reconstitute 1 vial of isavuconazonium with 5 mL sterile water. Shake gently to dissolve. Remove 5 mL of the reconstituted solution from the vial and add it to an infusion bag containing 250 mL of 0.9% sodium chloride or 5% dextrose. The diluted solution may show visible translucent to white particulates of isavuconazonium (will be removed by in-line filtration). Use gentle mixing or roll bag to minimize the formation of particulates. Avoid unnecessary vibration or vigorous shaking of the solution and do not use a pneumatic transport system. Store intact vials at 2-8°C. Reconstituted solution can be stored below 25°C for a maximum of 1 hour prior to preparation of admixed solution. The admixed infusion solution can be stored at 20-25°C for up to 6 hours or at 2-8°C for up to 24 hours.<sup>1,2</sup>

## Cost (AWP)

IV solution: 372mg \$372/vial  
PO capsules: 186mg \$96 each

## Pharmacoeconomic Analysis

An analysis<sup>11</sup> of hospital resource use during the SECURE trial did not find a statistically significant difference in overall median length of stay (LOS) between the isavuconazole (15 days) and voriconazole (16 days) arms. There was also no difference between readmission rates between treatment arms. It did find that median LOS was statistically significantly shorter for patients treated with isavuconazonium vs voriconazole among patients with moderate-to-severe renal impairment (9 days vs 19 days). Moderate-to-severe renal impairment was defined as eGFR-MDRD <60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. It should be noted that the SECURE trial did not enroll patients with CrCl <50 mL/min.<sup>3</sup>

	No or mild renal impairment		Moderate-to-severe renal impairment	
	Isavuconazonium (n=231)	Voriconazole (n=217)	Isavuconazonium (n=20)	Voriconazole (n=33)
Median eGFR-MDRD	104.2	109.4	52.0	47.6
Median LOS (days)			9.0	19.0

## Utilization

	Jan-June 2016	July-Dec 2016	Jan-June 21, 2017
Unique Patients with Non-Formulary Orders for Isavuconazonium	1	5	3

**Prepared by:** Katelin Hiemer, Pharm.D. Candidate May 2018

**Reviewed by:** Scott Bergman, Pharm.D., Trevor Van Schooneveld, MD

**Approved:** September 2017

## References:

1. Cresemba (isavuconazonium sulfate) [package insert]. Northbrook, IL: Astellas Pharma US Inc; 2015.
2. Isavuconazole Sulfate. Lexi-Drugs. Lexicomp. Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Riverwoods, IL. Available at: <http://online.lexi.com>. Accessed June 8, 2017.
3. Maertens JA, Raad II, Marr KA, et al. Isavuconazole versus voriconazole for primary treatment of invasive mould disease caused by *Aspergillus* and other filamentous fungi (SECURE): a phase 3, randomised-controlled, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet*. 2016 Feb 20;387(10020):760-9. PMID: 26684607.
4. Marty FM, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Cornely OA, et al. Isavuconazole treatment for mucormycosis: a single-arm open-label trial and case-control analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2016 Jul;16(7):828-37. PMID: 26969258.
5. Patterson TF, Thompson GR 3rd, Denning DW et al. Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Aspergillosis: 2016 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016 Aug 15;63(4):e1-e60. PMID: 27365388
6. Wilson DT, Dimondi VP, Johnson SW, et al. Role of Isavuconazole in the Treatment of Invasive Fungal Infections. *Ther Clin Risk Manag*. 2016 Aug 3;12:1197-206. PMID:27536124.
7. Voriconazole. Lexi-Drugs. Lexicomp. Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Riverwoods, IL. Available at: <http://online.lexi.com>. Accessed June 15, 2017.
8. Posaconazole. Lexi-Drugs. Lexicomp. Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Riverwoods, IL. Available at: <http://online.lexi.com>. Accessed June 15, 2017.
9. Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center; Astellas Pharma US Inc. Fungal Prophylaxis with Isavuconazole for Patients Undergoing Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant. In: ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2017- [cited 2017 June 16]. Available from: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03149055> NLM Identifier: NCT03149055.
10. MD Anderson Cancer Center. Study of Isavuconazole Prophylaxis in Adults with Acute Myeloid Leukemia/Myelodysplastic Syndrome (AML/MDS) and Neutropenia. In: ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2017- [cited 2017 June 16]. Available from: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03019939> NLM Identifier: NCT03019939.
11. Horn D, Goff D, Khandelwal N, et al. Hospital resource use of patients receiving vs voriconazole for invasive mold infections in the phase III SECURE trial. *J Med Econ*. 2016 Jul;19(7):728-34. PMID: 26960060.
12. Cornely OA, Arikan-Akdagli S, Dannaoui E, Groll AH, Lagrou K, Chakrabarti A, Lanternier F, Pagano L, Skiada A, Akova M, Arendrup MC, Boekhout T, Chowdhary A, Cuenca-Estrella M, Freiburger T, Guinea J, Guarro J, de Hoog S, Hope W, Johnson E, Kathuria S, Lackner M, Lass-Flörl C, Lortholary O, Meis JF, Meletiadis J,
13. Muñoz P, Richardson M, Roilides E, et al. ESCMID and ECMM joint clinical guidelines for the diagnosis and management of mucormycosis 2013. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2014 Apr;20 Suppl 3:5-26. PubMed PMID: 24479848.

**Appendix: Summary of Safety Issues and Implications for Pharmacy Operations**

Characteristic	Summary
Medication Information	
Drug generic name (brand name)	Isavuconazonium Sulfate (Cresemba®)
Drug manufacturer	Astellas Pharma US Inc.
Schedule of medication	N/A
Anticipated use per month, anticipated patient population	10-30 patients per year, immunocompromised patients including transplant and oncology.
Route of administration	IV and PO
Preparation	Reconstitute 1 vial of isavuconazonium with 5 mL sterile water. Shake gently to dissolve. Remove 5 mL of the reconstituted solution from the vial and add it to an infusion bag containing 250 mL of NS or D5W. The diluted solution may show visible translucent to white particulates of the drug (will be removed by in-line filtration). Use gentle mixing or roll bag to minimize the formation of particulates. Avoid unnecessary vibration or vigorous shaking of the solution.
Stability	0.9% sodium chloride and 5% dextrose are the only approved diluents for IV administration of isavuconazonium.
Recommended storage conditions for medication, and how to manage excursions outside these conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Store capsules at 20-25°C in original blister packaging to protect from moisture. Excursions are permitted between 15-30°C.</li> <li>• Store intact vials at 2-8°C</li> <li>• Reconstituted solution can be stored below 25 °C for a maximum of 1 hour prior to preparation of admixed solution.</li> <li>• Admixed infusion solution can be stored at 20-25°C for up to 6 hours or at 2-8°C for up to 24 hours</li> </ul>
Does the manufacturer require patients to meet specific criteria for treatment with this medication? If so, where may healthcare providers find these criteria?	No
Operations Information	
Is filtration required during preparation or administration of the IV medication?	No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Can medication doses be sent to patient care units via pneumatic tube system? <u>See IC24.</u>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Does the manufacturer have a restricted or special distribution program? If so, how may healthcare providers contact the program?	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/>
Safety/Policy Information	
Will this impact a dynamic alternative alert?	No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It has the potential to impact the DA function if the pharmaceutical subclass is active.
Is the medication (brand name, generic name, product packaging) similar to any other medications on the Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP) Sound-Alike-Look-Alike (SALA) list or confused names list? If not, is the medication expected to be added to the list? <a href="https://www.ismp.org/tools/tallmanletters.pdf">https://www.ismp.org/tools/tallmanletters.pdf</a> <a href="http://www.ismp.org/Tools/confuseddrugnames.pdf">http://www.ismp.org/Tools/confuseddrugnames.pdf</a>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/>
Does the product package insert currently have any black box warning? For what?	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/>
Is this medication a hazardous agent?	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/>
Is the IV medication a vesicant or irritant?	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/>
Is this a high-alert medication that requires an indication? <u>See MM02.</u>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/>



Are there contraindications or significant warnings against medication use?	No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is special monitoring recommended when starting therapy with this medication (eg. Telemetry, BP, etc)?	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/>
Is there a Risk Evaluation and Management Strategy (REMS) program for the medication? If so, where may healthcare providers find these criteria?	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/>
Does the medication require precautions for disposal? What kind? <u>See EC20 Disposal of Pharmaceutical Products; EC11 Chemo Drugs-Safety Precautions for Administration</u>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/>
Will the medication be restricted: <u>MS68 Levels of Care</u>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To a specific level of care (LOC)?</li> <li>• To a specific location?</li> <li>• To specific services/ providers?</li> <li>• To providers credentialed in deep sedation or general anesthesia?</li> <li>• To patients who are on the medication prior to admit?</li> </ul>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/>